

Optimal care pathway for people with myelodysplastic syndromes

FIRST EDITION



Optimal care pathway for people with myelodysplastic syndromes

FIRST EDITION

Endorsed by



Australian Government
Cancer Australia



Leukaemia Foundation

Statement of acknowledgement

We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of Country throughout Australia and their continuing connection to the land, sea and community. We pay our respects to them and their cultures and to Elders past, present and emerging.

This work is available from the Leukaemia Foundation website <www.leukaemia.org.au/OCP> and from the Cancer Council website <www.cancer.org.au/OCP>.

This edition published in October 2021.

ISBN: 978-0-6453299-1-9

Leukaemia Foundation and Australian Government Department of Health 2021, *Optimal care pathway for people with myelodysplastic syndromes*, 1st edn, Leukaemia Foundation of Australia.

Enquiries about this publication can be sent to <bloodcancerpartnerships@leukaemia.org.au>.

Contents

Welcome and introduction	i
Summary	1
Intent of the optimal care pathways	5
Optimal care pathway resources	6
Principles of the optimal care pathway	8
Principle 1: Patient-centred care	8
Principle 2: Safe and quality care	10
Principle 3: Multidisciplinary care	11
Principle 4: Supportive care	12
Principle 5: Care coordination	14
Principle 6: Communication	15
Principle 7: Research and clinical trials	17
Summary – optimal timeframes	18
Optimal care pathway	19
Step 1: Prevention and early detection	19
Step 2: Presentation, initial investigations and referral	20
Step 3: Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning	24
Step 4: Treatment	31
Step 5: Care after initial treatment and recovery	40
Step 6: Managing refractory, relapsed, residual or progressive disease	46
Step 7: End-of-life care	50
Contributors and reviewers	53
Appendix A: Supportive care domains	56
Appendix B: Psychological needs	57
Appendix C: Special population groups	58
Appendix D: Complementary therapies	64
Appendix E: Members of the multidisciplinary team for MDS	65
Resource list	66
Glossary	70
References	72

Welcome and introduction

Welcome to the optimal care pathways for blood cancer. These guides complement the second edition of the optimal care pathways, revised in 2020 under the stewardship of Professor Robert Thomas OAM, Cancer Council Victoria and the Victorian Government.

With support from the federal government, these latest optimal care pathways expand the suite of guides to include a wider range of blood cancers.

Some cancers are simple to treat; many are complex. But the principles of high-quality care are similar for all cancers and, if followed, are likely to achieve the best outcomes for patients and their families and carers.

Optimal care pathways outline best practice for cancer care in Australia – that is, what can, and should, be delivered for every person regardless of where they live or their personal circumstances. They provide the national standard for high-quality cancer care that all Australians should expect.

Access to optimal care is critical for people with blood cancer. The timeliness and accuracy of the diagnosis, getting the right treatment at the right time, and ongoing access to supportive care, all have an impact on a patient's survival and wellbeing. When it comes to blood cancers, we all believe our patients and their families deserve the best care available.

All those involved in cancer care should read and understand the optimal care pathways. This includes haematologists, radiation/medical oncologists, general practitioners, allied health professionals, nurses and managers of cancer services, along with others in the community sector and government. These pathways guide all practitioners from trainees to highly skilled specialists.

We also recommend the optimal care pathways to people living with blood cancer and their carers. These resources are designed to guide discussions with a patient's healthcare team and support individuals to make informed decisions about what is right for them. There is a specific optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and the *Guides to best cancer care* for consumers are available in different languages.

These optimal care pathways are endorsed by the federal government through Cancer Australia, and by all states and territories. They have Australia-wide clinical acceptance and government support.

Optimal care pathways are not clinical guidelines but sit alongside the diagnostic and clinical guidelines to which they refer. The decision about 'what' treatment is given is a professional responsibility and will usually be based on current evidence, clinical practice guidelines and the patient's preferences.

The blood cancer optimal care pathways project, covering six pathways, was completed in 2021 during the COVID-19 pandemic. The importance of optimal cancer care for all cannot be overstated at this time, considering the risks to vulnerable populations and immunosuppressed patients.

I would like to thank everyone involved for generously dedicating considerable time and effort to developing these pathways. This includes many individuals and organisations that contributed to reviewing these guides and the strong support of the federal, state and territory governments.



Associate Professor Peter Mollee

Chair, Blood Cancer Optimal Care Pathways Steering Committee

Summary

Support: Assess supportive care needs at every step of the pathway and refer to appropriate health professionals or organisations.

The optimal care pathways describe the standard of care that should be available to all cancer patients treated in Australia. The pathways support patients and carers, health systems, health professionals and services, and encourage consistent optimal treatment and supportive care at each stage of a patient's journey. Seven key principles underpin the guidance provided in the pathways: patient-centred care; safe and quality care; multidisciplinary care; supportive care; care coordination; communication; and research and clinical trials.

This quick reference guide provides a summary for clinicians of the *Optimal care pathway for people with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS)*.

Please note that not all patients will follow every step of the pathway.

Step 1: Prevention and early detection

Prevention

The causes of MDS are not fully understood, and there is currently no clear prevention strategy.

Risk factors include:

- age (occurs mainly in people aged over 60)
- gender (MDS is more common in males)
- long-term exposure to environmental/occupational hazards such as benzene, tobacco smoke, insecticides and other toxins
- previous chemotherapy (alkylating agents and purine analogues), radiotherapy or ionising radiation
- an inherited predisposition to MDS in paediatric MDS patients with Down syndrome, Fanconi's anaemia and neurofibromatosis.

Early detection

Patients may be identified early when mild cytopenia is detected on a full blood examination. Other patients may present with symptoms such as fatigue, bruising or recurrent infections.

Some patients with cytopenia may not meet the criteria of MDS and may be categorised as having clonal cytopenia of uncertain significance. The clinical significance of this is uncertain, and follow-up with a GP for more severe cytopenia(s) may be appropriate.

Screening recommendations

Routine screening for MDS is not currently recommended in either the general population or in relatives of people with MDS.

General health checklist

- Recent weight changes discussed and recorded
- Alcohol intake and smoking status discussed and support offered if appropriate
- Physical activity recorded
- Referral to a dietitian, physiotherapist or exercise physiologist considered
- Sun smart advice

Step 2: Presentation, initial investigations and referral

The following signs and symptoms should be investigated:

- persistent tiredness and fatigue
- weakness
- shortness of breath with minimal exercise
- looking pale
- recurring infections, especially chest infections
- fevers
- sore mouth due to mouth ulcers
- easy bruising
- purpura – a rash of small red dots
- tendency to bleed from the nose and gums.

The presence of multiple signs and symptoms, particularly in combination

with other underlying risk factors, indicates an increased risk of MDS.

Initial investigations include:

- patient history and physical examination
- blood tests to detect abnormalities and exclude other diagnoses – for example: full blood cell count and film review, reticulocyte counts, lactate dehydrogenase, autoimmune screen (ANA/ENA), blood group, B12/folate and iron studies, electrolytes, liver function, renal function, blood cell, haemolysis and thyroid function (where clinically appropriate) and serum electrophoresis and serologies for chronic viral infections like HCV, HBV and HIV.

Checklist

- Signs and symptoms recorded
- Investigations completed
- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Patient notified of support services such as Cancer Council 13 11 20, Leukaemia Foundation 1800 620 420
- Referral options discussed with the patient and/or carer including cost implications

Step 2: Presentation, initial investigations and referral continued

Referral options

At the referral stage, the patient's GP or other referring doctor should advise the patient about their options for referral, waiting periods, expertise, if there are likely to be out-of-pocket costs and the range of services available. This will enable patients to make an informed choice of specialist and health service.

A GP can safely monitor suspected MDS in certain cases where the patient has mild cytopenias and significant comorbidities.

Communication

The GP's responsibilities include:

- explaining to the patient and/or carer who they are being referred to and why
- supporting the patient and/or carer while waiting for specialist appointments
- informing the patient and/or carer that they can contact Cancer Council (13 11 20) or the Leukaemia Foundation (1800 620 420).

Timeframe

Results should be provided to the patient **within 4 weeks** or sooner if the person is acutely unwell.

The urgency of specialist referral depends on the severity of cytopenias and clinical presentation.

Patients should usually be referred **within 4 weeks** of receiving all initial test results. Cases that require urgent referral **within 2 weeks** of initial work-up include:

- severe cytopenias and recurrent infections needing antibiotics
- platelets < 30 × 10⁹/L or bleeding symptoms even if platelets are above the threshold
- symptomatic unexplained anaemia or haemoglobin < 80 g/L.

Step 3: Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning

Diagnosis and staging

Diagnosis and prognosis of MDS is based on peripheral blood and bone marrow aspirate and trephine.

Investigations of the bone marrow aspirate may include:

- immunophenotyping
- cytogenetics, FISH studies (in some circumstances)
- molecular testing or a myeloid gene panel test in selected patients.

Other investigations and blood tests should be completed to exclude other causes of cytopenias and dysplasia.

Although MDS diagnosis is confirmed by a bone marrow biopsy, it may be reasonable to monitor some patients rather than proceed to bone marrow biopsy, depending on the severity of cytopenias, the patient's preference, age and comorbidities.

Genetic testing

An inherited predisposition panel may be appropriate in selected patients

with MDS. This should be undertaken after counselling and discussion with a haematologist with experience in this area and/or a genetic counsellor.

Treatment planning

If indicated for the patient, the multidisciplinary team should meet before recommending a definitive treatment plan.

Research and clinical trials

Consider enrolment where available and appropriate.

See the OCP resources appendix and relevant steps for clinical trial resources relevant to MDS.

Communication

The lead clinician's¹ responsibilities include:

- discussing a timeframe for diagnosis and treatment options with the patient and/or carer
- explaining the role of the multidisciplinary team in treatment planning and ongoing care

Checklist

- Diagnosis confirmed
- Performance status and comorbidities measured and recorded
- Where appropriate, patient discussed at a multidisciplinary meeting and decisions provided to the patient and/or carer
- Clinical trial considered
- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Referral to support services (e.g. Cancer Council, Leukaemia Foundation)
- Treatment costs discussed with the patient and/or carer

1 Lead clinician – the clinician who is responsible for managing patient care. The lead clinician may change over time depending on the stage of the care pathway and where care is being provided.

Step 3: Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning continued

- encouraging discussion about the diagnosis, prognosis, advance care planning and palliative care while clarifying the patient's wishes, needs, beliefs and expectations, and their ability to comprehend the communication
- providing appropriate information and referral to support services as required
- communicating with the patient's GP about the diagnosis, treatment plan and recommendations from multidisciplinary meetings.

Timeframe

The urgency of investigations depends on the severity of cytopenias and clinical presentation. Bone marrow biopsy results and ancillary investigations are usually returned **within two weeks**. Specialised testing such as cytogenetics and molecular tests may take longer.

Step 4: Treatment

All patients should receive supportive therapies, including education, active surveillance and monitoring, transfusions as appropriate and prompt infection control. Some lower risk MDS patients (not on any active interventions) may be suitable for monitoring and surveillance with their GP. The range of disease-specific/directed additional treatment options varies across different risk groups depending on age and comorbidities.

Establish intent of treatment

- Curative
- Anti-cancer therapy to improve quality of life and/or longevity without expectation of cure
- Symptom palliation

Supportive therapies will be required by all MDS patients. It may be the only long-term treatment needed for those with lower risk disease or those who are older, unfit or don't respond to other treatments. This can include red blood cell transfusions, platelet transfusions or tranexamic acid, infection management and iron chelation therapy.

Systemic therapy options may vary for lower or higher risk MDS patients. In patients with lower risk MDS, available treatment options include lenalidomide, hypomethylating agents and immunosuppressive therapy. Anaemia is the most common cytopenia for lower risk MDS and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents may be used as first-line therapy. In patients with higher risk MDS, standard treatment options include

hypomethylating agents (azacitidine and decitabine), AML induction chemotherapy (in those with a high blast count who are eligible for intensive therapy) and haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) is the only potentially curative treatment for MDS. Patients with higher risk MDS may benefit from allo-SCT close to the time of diagnosis. Depending on the patient's goals of therapy, consider proceeding to transplantation as soon as feasible after an optimal donor is found.

Palliative care

Early referral to palliative care can improve quality of life and in some cases survival. Referral should be based on need, not prognosis. For more information, visit the Palliative Care Australia website <www.palliativecare.org.au>.

Communication

The lead clinician and team's responsibilities include:

- discussing treatment options with the patient and/or carer including the intent of treatment as well as risks and benefits
- discussing advance care planning with the patient and/or carer where appropriate
- communicating the treatment plan to the patient's GP
- helping patients to find appropriate support for exercise programs where appropriate to improve treatment outcomes.

Checklist

- Intent, risk and benefits of treatment discussed with the patient and/or carer
- Treatment plan discussed with the patient and/or carer and provided to GP
- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Early referral to palliative care considered and advance care planning discussed with the patient and/or carer

Timeframe

For symptomatic patients with higher risk disease, disease-specific therapy should begin **within the first 6 weeks** of initial specialist consultation.

Potential stem cell transplantation candidates should be referred to a bone marrow transplant specialist **as soon as transplantation is considered a potential option**.

For lower risk MDS, the timing of treatment is guided by clinical presentation and urgency.

Step 5: Care after initial treatment and recovery

Survivors generally need regular, ongoing, long-term follow-up because treatments for MDS are generally not curative. The survivorship care plan may need to be updated to reflect changes in the patient's clinical status and psychosocial needs.

Provide a treatment and follow-up summary to the patient, carer and GP outlining:

- the diagnosis, tests and treatments received
- current toxicities
- interventions and treatment plans from other health professionals
- potential long-term and late effects of treatment and care of these
- supportive care services provided

- a follow-up schedule, including tests required and timing
- contact information for key healthcare providers who can offer support for lifestyle modification
- a process for rapid re-entry to medical services for suspected recurrence.

Communication

The lead clinician's responsibilities include:

- explaining the treatment summary and follow-up care plan to the patient and/or carer
- informing the patient and/or carer about secondary prevention and healthy living
- discussing the follow-up care plan with the patient's GP.

Checklist

- Treatment and follow-up summary provided to the patient and/or carer and the patient's GP
- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Patient-reported outcome measures recorded

Step 6 Managing relapsed or progressive disease

MDS is generally incurable, except in patients who have a successful allo-SCT. Many patients will relapse or will progress after initial therapy, have worsening symptoms or transfusion dependence and/or progress to acute myeloid leukaemia.

Detection

Most refractory or progressive disease will be detected via routine follow-up or by the patient presenting with symptoms.

Treatment

Treatment will depend on the extent of relapsed or progressive disease, previous management and the patient's preferences. Options may include taking part in a clinical trial, treatment for acute myeloid leukaemia if the disease progresses to this and it is clinically appropriate, supportive medical management and/or palliative care.

Advance care planning

Advance care planning is important for all patients but especially those with relapsed or progressive disease. It allows them to plan for their future health and personal care and can guide future treatment if the patient is unable to speak for themselves.

Survivorship and palliative care

Survivorship and palliative care should be addressed and offered early. Early referral to palliative care can improve quality of life and in some cases survival. Referral should be based on need, not prognosis.

Communication

The lead clinician and team's responsibilities include:

- explaining the treatment intent, likely outcomes and side effects to the patient and/or carer and the patient's GP.

Checklist

- Treatment intent, likely outcomes and side effects explained to the patient and/or carer and the patient's GP
- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Advance care planning discussed with the patient and/or carer
- Patient referred to palliative care if appropriate
- Routine follow-up visits scheduled

Step 7: End-of-life care

Palliative care

Consider a referral to palliative care. Ensure an advance care directive is in place.

Communication

The lead clinician's responsibilities include:

- being open about the prognosis and discussing palliative care options with the patient
- establishing transition plans to ensure the patient's needs and goals are considered in the appropriate environment.

Checklist

- Supportive care needs assessed and referrals to allied health services actioned as required
- Patient referred to palliative care
- Advance care directive in place

Visit the guides to best cancer care webpage <www.cancercareguides.org.au> for consumer guides. Visit the OCP webpage <www.cancer.org.au/OCP> for the optimal care pathway and instructions on how to import these guides into your GP software.


Intent of the optimal care pathways

Optimal care pathways map seven key steps in cancer care. Each of these steps outlines nationally agreed best practice for the best level of care. While the seven steps appear in a linear model, in practice, patient care does not always occur in this way but depends on the particular situation (e.g. the type of cancer, when and how the cancer is diagnosed, prognosis, management, the patient's decisions and their physiological response to treatment).

The principles underpinning optimal care pathways always put patients at the centre of care throughout their experience and prompt the healthcare system to deliver coordinated care.

The optimal care pathways do not constitute medical advice or replace clinical judgement, and they refer to clinical guidelines and other resources where appropriate.

Figure 1: The optimal care pathway



Optimal care pathway resources

There are three resources for each pathway: an optimal care pathway, a quick reference guide for health professionals and a guide to best cancer care for patients, carers and families.


Optimal care pathways

This optimal care pathway is designed for **health professionals and health services**. However, **patients and carers** may find useful information in this version to help understand the processes their treating health professionals are following.

This resource aims to:

- assist health professionals to provide optimal care and support to patients with cancer, their families and carers
- provide optimal timeframes for delivering evidence-based care
- emphasise the importance of communication and collaboration between health providers and people affected by cancer
- assist and inform new health professionals or trainees who are entering the cancer care workforce
- provide value to health systems to identify gaps in current cancer services, bring about quality improvement initiatives and improve how services are planned and coordinated. Adherence to the pathways should be measured wherever possible.

Visit the Cancer Council website <www.cancer.org.au/OCP> to view the optimal care pathways.




Quick reference guides

The quick reference guides are for **health professionals and health services**. They provide a summary of each optimal care pathway for health professionals and patients.

The quick reference guides include:

- optimal timeframes within which tests or procedures should be completed
- checklists with indicators related to recommendations in the optimal care pathway.

Visit the Cancer Council website <www.cancer.org.au/OCP> to view the quick reference guide for this optimal care pathway.



Guides to best cancer care

The guides to best cancer care are **consumer** resources that help patients understand the optimal cancer care that should be provided at each step. Carers, family and friends may also find the guides helpful.

The guides to best cancer care:

- include optimal timeframes within which tests or procedures should be completed
- include prompt questions to support patients to understand what might happen at each step of their cancer journey and to consider what questions to ask
- provide information to help patients and carers communicate with health professionals
- are available in eight languages.

Visit the Cancer Council's website <www.cancercareguides.org.au> to view the guides to best cancer care.



Optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer

The *Optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer* provides a tool to help reduce disparities and improve outcomes and experiences for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer. This resource can be used in conjunction with the optimal care pathway for each cancer type.


Visit the Cancer Australia website <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/affected-cancer/atsi/resources-health>> to view the optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.



Principles of the optimal care pathway

The seven principles of care define appropriate and supportive cancer care that is the right of all patients and the right of those caring for and connected with them.

Figure 2: The seven principles underpinning the optimal care pathway



Principle 1: Patient-centred care



Patient-centred care informs and involves patients in their care and respects and responds to the preferences, needs and values of patients, families and carers.

A patient-centred focus increases the experience and satisfaction of patients, their families and carers, and staff, as well as safety and cost-effectiveness (ACSQHC 2019a).

Patient-centred care means:

- patients are informed and involved in decisions about their cancer and the treatment, post-treatment and recovery program ahead
- patients, their families and carers are provided with access to appropriate and accessible health information
- respect for the cultural and religious beliefs of patients and their families is demonstrated when discussing the diagnosis of cancer
- active communication is used to engage patients, their families and carers in the care process – an essential step for patients to be informed
- care processes are mutually beneficial for patients and providers
- special needs are addressed – for example, the needs of people with disabilities or mental health issues.

Informed choice and consent

An informed patient has greater confidence and competence to manage their cancer journey.

Health professionals are responsible for enabling patients to make informed choices according to their preferences, needs and values. Patients should be provided with:

- individualised and timely information and guidance about their treatment, details of their care, including the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment, the associated potential side effects, the likely outcomes on their performance status (how well a patient is able to carry out activities of daily life) and subsequently their quality of life and any financial implications, at each stage of the pathway (ACSQHC 2020).
- Health professionals have a legal responsibility to obtain consent for all procedures from either the patient or their substitute decision-maker if they are not deemed competent.

Referral choices and informed financial consent

Patients have the right to receive the information they need to be able to make an informed decision on where to be referred for treatment. Treating specialists and practitioners should clearly explain the costs or how to find out the costs of services, tests and treatment options upfront to avoid consumers experiencing 'bill shock'.

At the time of referral, the patient's general practitioner or other referring doctor should discuss the different options for referral, waiting periods, expertise, if there are likely to be out-of-pocket costs and the range of services available. This will enable patients to make an informed choice of specialist and health service. Referral decisions influence the care patients receive along the pathway and the direct and indirect costs they and their carers may incur. Different referrals have different costs:

- referral to a public hospital, which may involve some costs
- initial referral to a private specialist with associated costs, with the option of ongoing treatment in a public hospital at any time
- referral to a patient's choice of practitioner for immediate and ongoing private hospital management with associated costs.

Patients should be made aware that even though public hospital health care is 'free' to all Australian citizens and most permanent residents of Australia, there are still associated direct costs such as:

- over-the-counter medication and prescriptions
- wound dressings
- travel costs
- parking fees
- tests that are not covered by Medicare.

A cancer diagnosis and treatment may affect a patient's or carer's income. This is an indirect cost associated with cancer. Social work support is essential to help patients and their families deal with this issue. Patients should be advised not to undergo private care with significant out-of-pocket expenses if financially constrained. Specialists in private practice need to explain costs at the start of each new treatment to acknowledge the cumulative out-of-pocket expenses that patients can incur.

Patients and carers should be made aware of other forms of potential financial support that may be available, including whether the diagnosis or treatment triggers any insurance or access to superannuation, patient-assisted travel schemes, Centrelink or other forms of social security.

For more information on informed financial consent see Cancer Council's 'Standard for informed financial consent' <<https://www.cancer.org.au/health-professionals/resources/informed-financial-consent>>.

Financial counselling services can provide advice on dealing with financial difficulties. These services can be accessed publicly (via social workers at hospitals, financial counsellors at neighbourhood houses or rural financial aid), privately or through cancer support services such as local charity groups or social work services.

For practical and financial assistance, patients may consider Cancer Council's financial services <<https://www.cancer.org.au/about-cancer/patient-support/practical-and-financial-assistance.html>>.

Shared care

Shared care between a cancer specialist and primary care health professional is delivered in two or more settings by two or more professionals. The primary care provider is usually a general practitioner but can include nurses and allied health practitioners. Shared care can be delivered throughout the care pathway including during treatment, follow-up care, survivorship care and end-of-life care.

Shared care offers several advantages to patients, including the potential for treatment closer to home and more efficient care with less duplication and greater coordination. Evidence comparing shared care and specialised care indicates equivalence in outcomes including recurrence rate, cancer survival and quality of life (Cancer Research in Primary Care 2016).

Telehealth can enable efficient shared care and should be explored for all patients. Patients in some rural or remote locations may access specialists via Medicare Benefit Scheme funded telehealth consultations. General practitioners working in rural or remote locations should be aware of specialist multidisciplinary teams with facilities to reduce the travel burden and costs for patients.

Principle 2: Safe and quality care

Hospitals and health professionals are responsible for providing safe and quality care.



Health professionals need to have appropriate training and experience to undertake treatment for MDS. Patients should be referred to an individual practitioner or service with appropriate expertise.

Safe and high-quality care is care provided by appropriately trained and credentialed health professionals who undertake regular quality reviews of their performance, contribute to regular audits of their care and are actively involved in continuing professional development. Hospitals and clinics must have the equipment, staff numbers, policies and procedures in place to support safe and high-quality care for cancer patients. Patients should be offered the safest options for care, which may include using telehealth (Cancer Australia 2020).

Hospital quality committees should ensure all health care is informed by evidence, and health professionals and health service managers (including executives) have a responsibility to evaluate and monitor their practice. Optimal care pathways provide a framework to help evaluate and monitor practice over time. Services should be routinely collecting relevant minimum datasets to support benchmarking, quality care and service improvement. Hospital committees and health professional peak bodies should be auditing this process (ACSQHC 2017; 2020).

The Australian Council on Health Standards <www.achs.org.au> has created a set of indicators that helps hospitals conform to appropriate standards.

All new diagnoses should be reported, as appropriate, to the relevant state or territory cancer registry.

Patient-reported experience and outcome measures

Patient-reported experience measures (PREMs) and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) should be incorporated into routine cancer care.

PREMs are used to obtain patients' views and observations on aspects of healthcare services they have received (AIHW 2018). Patient experience data is collected for specific services and then relayed to service providers to instigate improvements in patient services (ACSQHC 2019b).

The Australian Hospital Patient Experience Question Set (AHPEQS) is a tool used to assess patient experiences of treatment and care in a private or public hospital. AHPEQS helps to improve the safety and quality of health care by allowing organisations to understand the patient's perspective (AIHW 2018; ACSQHC 2019b).

PROMs measure aspects of a person's health status such as symptoms, quality of life and needs and are collected directly from patients either online, via a smartphone or through paper-based means.

Collecting PROMs, and then instigating an appropriate clinical response, has been shown to prolong survival, reduce health system use and improve patients' quality of life. While there are many sets of PROMs questions that are relevant to any cancer patient, specific questions can be tailored to particular cancer types, populations or different phases of cancer care.

Principle 3: Multidisciplinary care



Multidisciplinary care is an integrated team approach that involves all relevant health professionals discussing all relevant treatment options and making joint recommendations about treatment and supportive care plans, taking into account the personal preferences of patients.

Multidisciplinary care improves patient outcomes. Cancer Australia's 'Principles of multidisciplinary care' provides a flexible definition, allowing services to vary implementation according to cancer type and the service location. The principles stipulate:

- a team approach that involves core disciplines that are integral to providing good care, including general practice, with input from other specialties as required
- communication among team members about treatment planning and plans for follow-up
- access to the full therapeutic range for all patients, regardless of geographical remoteness or size of institution
- care delivery in accordance with nationally agreed standards
- patient involvement in decisions about their care (Cancer Australia 2019a)
- In addition to these principles, treatment teams should consider clinical trial participation for all eligible patients.

Multidisciplinary meetings, often called MDMs, should be based on the principles outlined above.

For more information on the principles of multidisciplinary care and the benefits of adopting a multidisciplinary approach, see Cancer Australia's 'Principles of multidisciplinary care' <www.canceraustralia.gov.au/clinical-best-practice/multidisciplinary-care/all-about-multidisciplinary-care/principles-multidisciplinary-care>.

Principle 4: Supportive care



Supportive care is a vital part of any cancer treatment program. Supportive care deals with issues that emerge for patients, families and carers from the effects of the cancer diagnosis and its treatment. It is made up of all the services, information and resources patients may need to meet their physical, psychological, social, information and spiritual needs from the time of diagnosis.

Supportive care may be 'patient-defined' and based on unmet needs. It is a core component of evidence-based clinical care and its benefits are well established. All cancer patients and their carers should be formally supported and have access to understandable, relevant information about the medical, practical and emotional aspects of the cancer and its treatment (Fitch 2008). The wishes and needs of the patient, their family and their carers should determine the level of support provided. Supportive care is a standard or routine aspect of cancer care and the treatment team should make patients aware of this.

Supportive care should begin from the time of diagnosis and continue throughout the cancer pathway.

For health professionals, supportive care involves:

- screening and assessing patients and families for their supportive care needs
- providing patients with access to a range of multidisciplinary support services, groups and therapies designed to assist them to live with cancer and its treatment and optimising recovery
- optimising referral pathways to community support organisations (cancer-related non-government, not-for-profit and charities) that provide services to cancer survivors – these address many of the care-navigation, psychosocial and information needs of cancer survivors and those affected by cancer (Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre 2019)
- being aware of and delivering culturally appropriate care.

All members of the multidisciplinary team have a role in providing supportive care along the care pathway, with special attention at transition points.

Supportive care involves routinely and systematically assessing patients to determine their needs. Health professionals can use a variety of validated screening tools for this task (see box on page 13). Clinical review and individual assessment are still required to ensure all patient concerns are identified.



More information

Visit the WeCan website <www.wecan.org.au> for information and resources on supportive care.

Validated screening tools

- National Comprehensive Cancer Network Distress Thermometer and Problem Checklist <www.nccn.org/docs/default-source/patient-resources/nccn_distress_thermometer.pdf?sfvrsn=ef1df1a2_4>
- Supportive Care Needs Assessment Tool for Indigenous People (SCNAT-IP) <www.scnatip.org>

Key review points

The treatment team should assess patients for supportive care needs at these key stages:

- initial presentation or diagnosis (first three months)
- the beginning of treatment or a new phase of treatment
- change in prognosis
- if a patient is found to have a germline genetic mutation predisposing to cancer
- end of treatment
- throughout survivorship
- diagnosis of recurrence
- change in or development of new symptoms
- palliative care
- end-of-life care
- other time points based on clinical judgement.

The team also needs to decide whether the patient requires ongoing referral to supportive care services. Access to services can be through general practice-led chronic disease management plans, team care arrangements and mental health plans. Community support services also have a role to play.

See Appendices A, B and C for more information on supportive care and the specific needs of people that may arise.

Principle 5: Care coordination



Care coordination is the responsibility of every professional, both clinical and non-clinical, who works with patients, their families and carers.

Seamless care coordination is essential for patients to successfully navigate the complex health system. Care coordination is a comprehensive approach to achieving continuity of care for patients. It aims to ensure care is delivered in a systematic, connected and timely way that promotes efficiency and reduces the risk of duplication and over-servicing to meet the medical and personal needs of patients.

Care coordination includes:

- proactive and timely communication with patients, their families and carers
- treatment plans, survivorship care plans and/or advance care directives
- coordinated appointments to ensure timely diagnosis, treatment and survivorship care
- appropriate tests and results being available to the treating team so treatment decisions can be made
- medical records being available to all members of the treating team and at scheduled appointments
- translation or interpreter services arranged if the patient/carer is from a non-English-speaking background or has difficulty communicating due to a physical disability
- practical support such as transport, accommodation, advance care planning and financial support
- referral and access to supportive care
- access to clinical trials
- access to telehealth for people in rural and remote areas and for managing vulnerable patients.

Care coordination brings together different health professionals, teams and health services. It also encompasses MDMs, multidisciplinary assessment clinics, supportive care screening and assessment, referral practices, data collection, common protocols, information for patients and individual clinical treatment.

Care coordination should cross the acute and primary care interface and should aim to achieve consistency of care through clear communication, linkages and collaborative integrated care planning.

Care coordination can be facilitated through electronic health record management such as My Health Record. My Health Record is a secure online database that helps with data collection and care coordination (My Health Record 2019).

Formal care coordination through appointed care coordinators plays an important role in managing and supporting patients through the health system. The availability of dedicated care coordinators varies across states and territories according to the complexity of care required and local service capacity and resourcing.

Principle 6: Communication



Everyone employed in the healthcare system is responsible for ensuring the communication needs of patients, their families and carers are met.

Good and open communication is a key principle of care for cancer patients. This includes communication between oncology and primary care health professionals and with patients. General practitioners should be involved in care from the point of diagnosis, and patients should be encouraged to maintain a relationship with their general practitioner through all stages of cancer care. Communication should be regular and timely.

Attendance of a family member or carer at clinical appointments is beneficial for many patients, as the family member or carer can provide informational and emotional support. General practitioners and clinicians should encourage and support the involvement of family members and carers by providing an inclusive and supportive consultation environment (Laidsaar-Powell et al. 2018a). Laidsaar-Powell et al. provide evidence-based guidance on how to support family member or carer involvement in consultations (Laidsaar-Powell et al. 2018a; 2018b).

Every person with cancer will have different communication needs, including cultural and language differences. When anyone involved in treatment communicates with patients, they should be truthful and transparent but aware of cultural and psychological sensitivities. In communicating with patients, healthcare providers should undertake to:

- empower patients to be active in treatment discussions
- use professionally trained interpreters if required – for example, when communicating with people from culturally diverse backgrounds whose primary spoken language is not English, and for people with a hearing impairment (visit the Translating and Interpreting Services website <www.tisnational.gov.au> for more information on interpreter and language services)
- use culturally sensitive and appropriate forms of communication for people from culturally diverse backgrounds and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, as appropriate
- provide appropriate information for people from culturally diverse backgrounds
- provide information on community-based supportive care services and resources to patients and their families and carer
- identify the patient's substitute treatment decision-maker to ensure they are involved in relevant discussions
- ensure patients, their families or their carers have the opportunity to ask questions
- seek consent before conveying information between health professionals or healthcare teams or with family and carers
- be respectful if a patient seeks a second opinion from another health professional
- ensure patients do not have to convey information between areas of care (it is the provider's and healthcare system's responsibility to transfer information between areas of care)
- communicate in plain language (avoiding complex medical terms and jargon)
- ensure information is communicated at a level relevant to the patient's health literacy and that of their families and carers (ACSQHC 2020)
- use tools, diagrams and aids as appropriate (Gilligan et al. 2017)
- ensure the patient is aware of how to access electronic patient information, where appropriate

- allow enough time for communication, especially when conveying complex or sensitive information such as an initial diagnosis
- check the patient's and/or their family or carer's understanding by asking the patient and/or their family or carer to say in their own words what has been conveyed.

Healthcare providers should also consider offering patients a question prompt list before a consultation and recordings or written summaries of their consultations afterwards. Question prompt lists are effective in improving communication and the psychological and cognitive outcomes of cancer patients. Recordings or summaries of key consultations improve patients' recall of information and satisfaction (Hack et al. 2012). Written care plans, treatment summaries, survivorship care plans and advance care directives are effective records and communication tools.

Communication skills training programs that use role-play to develop skills and observe patient interactions to provide feedback, should be available to health professionals at every level of practice (Gilligan et al. 2017).

Communication skills training programs and resources can be found on the following websites:

- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Healthcare, Communicating for safety resource portal <<https://c4sportal.safetyandquality.gov.au/>>
- state and territory Cancer Councils <www.cancer.org.au/about-us/state-and-territory-councils> for the relevant council
- eviQ <<https://education.eviq.org.au>>
- VITAL talk <www.vitaltalk.org>.

Telehealth has become an increasingly acceptable alternative to face-to-face consultations.

When using telehealth, the team must consider what is best for the patient, including the patient's preferences. A face-to-face consultation should be the first option, if it is safe, when delivering critical diagnosis information, a change in therapy or prescribing intensive treatment. If this is not an option, a video consultation should be considered, and the patient should be encouraged to have a support person with them to assist (Cancer Australia 2020).

Principle 7: Research and clinical trials



Research and clinical trials play an important role in establishing the efficacy and safety of diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic interventions, as well as establishing the role of psychological, supportive care and palliative care interventions (Sjoquist & Zalcberg 2013).

Clinical trials are the foundation for improved cancer outcomes, allowing new treatments to be tested and offering patients access to potentially more effective therapies than otherwise available to them.

Clinical trials are available for multiple types of cancer and may be a valuable option for people with rare, difficult-to-treat conditions for which there may be limited evidence about how the condition is best treated or managed (Australian Clinical Trials 2015).

Treating specialists and multidisciplinary teams should be aware of or search for clinical trials that may be suitable for their patients. Specialists are encouraged to refer appropriate patients to other treating centres to participate in research or clinical trials at any stage of the care pathway and be willing to discuss the importance of informed consent and the pros and cons of participating in such trials. Any member of the multidisciplinary team can encourage cross-referral between clinical trials centres. Possible ineligibility to participate in a clinical trial should be discussed with the patient. Acknowledge disappointment and offer support in this instance.

Health services should strive to implement policies and procedures that facilitate equitable access to clinical trials for all patients, including culturally diverse patients, regional patients and those from Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander communities.

The use of telehealth technology, such as the Australasian Tele-trial Model, hopes to improve access to trials for patients being treated in rural and regional areas (COSA 2016). The principles outlined in the Australasian Tele-trial Model are consistent with the National Teletrials Compendium (Australian Government Department of Health 2021b), which provides guidance on the national approach to teletrials that has been agreed by all states and territories. Clinical trials must adhere to the Good Clinical Practice quality standards, which provide assurance that the data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of clinical trial participants are protected (ibid).

Australian Cancer Trials is a national clinical trials database. It provides information on the latest clinical trials in cancer care, including trials that are recruiting new participants. Search for a trial <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au> via its website.

You can also search the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>, the Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group trials website <www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials>, ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au> and ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for international studies.

Education and training

Research and clinical trials provide an opportunity to educate health professionals who are in training. Cancer centres may be affiliated with teaching hospitals, universities or research groups to promote higher education or to develop the academic workforce, leading to more sustainable practice. Specialists should be encouraged to take up and retain active membership to professional societies and organisations that can assist with professional development opportunities.

Summary – optimal timeframes

Evidence-based guidelines, where they exist, should inform timeframes. Treatment teams need to recognise that shorter timeframes for appropriate consultations and treatment can promote a better experience for patients. Three steps in the pathway specify timeframes for care (Figure 3). They are designed to help patients understand the timeframes in which they can expect to be assessed and treated, and to help health services plan care delivery in accordance with expert-informed time parameters to meet the expectation of patients. These timeframes are based on expert advice from the MDS Working Group.

Figure 3: Timeframes for care of myelodysplastic syndromes

Step in pathway	Care point	Timeframe
Presentation, initial investigations and referral	Signs and symptoms	Presenting symptoms should be promptly and clinically triaged with a health professional.
	Initial investigations initiated by GP	Investigations usually begin within days to a few weeks of detecting cytopenias, depending on the symptoms and acuteness of the presentation. The GP should have results and review the patients within 4 weeks , or sooner if they are acutely unwell.
	Referral to specialist	Investigations begin depending on symptoms and acuteness of presentation, usually within 4 weeks of receiving initial test results. Urgent referral should occur within 2 weeks if listed indicators of concern are observed.
Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning	Diagnosis and prognostic scoring	Timing of diagnostic Investigations should be guided by symptoms and acuteness of presentation. Results of initial diagnosis work-up are usually returned within several weeks , but specialist testing may take longer.
	Multidisciplinary team meeting and treatment planning	If indicated for the patient, the multidisciplinary team should meet to discuss newly diagnosed patients before definitive treatment.
Treatment	Specific therapies for lower and higher risk	Lower risk MDS treatment is guided by clinical presentation and urgency. Higher risk MDS treatment should begin within 6 weeks of initial specialist consultation. Ongoing close monitoring could be considered depending on the patient's circumstances.
	Allogeneic stem cell transplant	Guided by clinical presentation and urgency for higher risk and occasionally lower risk MDS. Early referral to transplant is recommended for patients under 70 years of age and with higher risk MDS. However age-specific frailty score and performance status should be considered.

Optimal care pathway

Seven steps of the optimal care pathway

- Step 1: Prevention and early detection
- Step 2: Presentation, initial investigations and referralstep
- Step 3: Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning
- Step 4: Treatment
- Step 5: Care after initial treatment and recovery
- Step 6: Managing refractory, relapsed, residual or progressive disease
- Step 7: End-of-life care

This pathway covers myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) in adults. MDS comprises a heterogeneous group of myeloid disorders with a highly variable clinical course, characterised by ineffective haematopoiesis leading to blood cytopenias. MDS progresses to acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) in approximately 30 per cent of cases (Granfeldt Østgård et al. 2015).

In 2021, the yearly incidence rate of MDS in Australian adults was estimated to be 4.7 cases per 100,000, with a five-year relative survival rate of 37 per cent. The median age of diagnosis in Australia was estimated to be 78 years of age, with 93 per cent of all patients diagnosed over the age of 60 (AIHW 2021). MDS is a blood cancer related to leukaemia causing bone marrow failure. Higher risk MDS has a prognosis equivalent to other high-risk blood cancers.

Step 1: Prevention and early detection

This step outlines recommendations for the prevention and early detection of MDS.

Evidence shows that not smoking, avoiding or limiting alcohol intake, eating a healthy diet, maintaining a healthy body weight, being physically active, being sun smart and avoiding exposure to oncoviruses or carcinogens may help reduce cancer risk (Cancer Council Australia 2018).

1.1 Prevention

The causes of MDS are not fully understood, and there is currently no clear prevention strategy.

1.2 Risk factors

The risk factors for developing MDS include the following:

- Age – MDS affects older people, and 93 per cent of all those diagnosed are over the age of 60.
- Gender – MDS is more common in males than females.
- Exposure to environmental/occupational hazards – long-term exposure to benzene, tobacco smoke, insecticides, radiation and other toxins may increase the risk of developing MDS.
- Previous chemotherapy or radiation treatment – treatment using alkylating agents and purine analogues, radiotherapy or ionising radiation may increase the risk of developing secondary MDS.
- An inherited predisposition to MDS is seen in one-third of paediatric MDS patients, including Down syndrome, Fanconi's anaemia and neurofibromatosis. Work-up for such inherited syndromes may be considered when reviewing a young patient with MDS.

1.3 Risk reduction

While there is no evidence linking lifestyle changes to reduced risk of MDS, it remains important to encourage people to reduce modifiable risk factors for other types of cancer and health conditions. This includes preventing or reducing obesity, and support to quit smoking.

1.4 Early detection

Patients with mild cytopenia can be identified early on by full blood examination. Others may present with symptoms such as fatigue, bruising or recurrent infections (see section 2.1 Signs and symptoms).

Some patients with cytopenia may not meet the criteria for MDS and may be categorised as clonal cytopenia of uncertain significance. The clinical significance of such a diagnosis is uncertain, and follow-up with general practitioner (until more severe cytopenia(s) occur) may be appropriate for such patients.

1.4.1 Screening recommendations

Routine screening for MDS is not currently recommended in either the general population or in relatives of people with MDS.

Step 2: Presentation, initial investigations and referral

This step outlines the process for the general practitioner to initiate the right investigations and refer to the appropriate specialist in a timely manner. The types of investigations the general practitioner undertakes will depend on many factors, including access to diagnostic tests, the availability of medical specialists and patient preferences.

2.1 Signs and symptoms

Some people with MDS are asymptomatic with no signs or symptoms identified with cytopenia on routine blood testing. The monitoring strategy includes excluding reversible causes and referral if they persist. Many people with MDS have a combination of symptoms. This is because the production of some or all of the blood cell types may be affected by the disease.

The following signs and symptoms should be investigated (Bewersdorf et al. 2020):

- persistent tiredness and fatigue
- weakness
- shortness of breath with minimal exercise
- looking pale
- recurring infections, especially chest infections
- fevers
- sore mouth due to mouth ulcers
- easy bruising
- purpura – a rash of small red dots, seen often on the lower limbs initially, due to small superficial capillary bleeds known as petechiae
- tendency to bleed from the nose and gums.

The presence of multiple signs and symptoms, particularly in combination with other underlying risk factors, indicates an increased risk of MDS.

2.1.1 Timeframe for general practitioner consultation

Investigations should begin **within days to a few weeks** of detecting cytopenias, depending on the symptoms and acuteness of the presentation.

2.2 Assessments by the general practitioner

General practitioner examinations and investigations should include:

- patient history and physical examination
- blood tests to detect abnormalities and exclude other diagnoses – for example: full blood cell count and film review (Sekeres & Cutler 2014), reticulocyte counts, lactate dehydrogenase, autoimmune screen (ANA/ENA), blood group, B12/folate and iron studies, electrolytes, liver function, renal function, blood cell, haemolysis and thyroid function (where clinically appropriate) and serum electrophoresis serologies for chronic viral infections such as hepatitis C, hepatitis B and HIV.

2.2.1 Timeframe for completing investigations

The general practitioner should have results and review the patient **within four weeks**, or sooner if they are acutely unwell.

2.3 Initial referral

If the cancer diagnosis is confirmed or the results are inconsistent or indeterminate, the general practitioner must refer the patient to a specialist physician to make the diagnosis.

It is important to consider the patient's overall health, including comorbidities and frailty. In certain circumstances if MDS is suspected and patients have mild cytopenias and significant comorbidities, they may be safely monitored by the general practitioner.

Patients should be enabled to make informed decisions about their choice of specialist and health service. General practitioners should make referrals in consultation with the patient after considering the clinical care needed, cost implications (see referral options and informed financial consent on page 9), waiting periods, location and facilities, including discussing the patient's preference for health care through the public or the private system.

Referral for suspected or diagnosed MDS should include the following essential information to accurately triage and categorise the level of clinical urgency:

- important psychosocial history and relevant medical history
- family history, current symptoms, medications and allergies
- results of current clinical investigations (imaging and pathology reports)
- results of all prior relevant investigations
- notification if an interpreter service is required.

The following clinical prioritisation criteria are used to triage patients with MDS. Urgent referral should occur **within two weeks** of initial work-up.

Any of the following indicators requires urgent referral:

- severity of cytopenias – neutrophils $< 0.5 \times 10^9/L$ or neutrophils > 0.5 and recurrent infections needing antibiotics
- platelets $< 30 \times 10^9/L$ or bleeding symptoms even if platelets are above threshold
- symptomatic unexplained anaemia or haemoglobin < 80 g/L.

Many services will reject incomplete referrals, so it is important that referrals comply with all relevant health service criteria.

If access is via online referral, a lack of a hard copy should not delay referral.

The specialist should provide timely communication to the general practitioner about the consultation and should notify the general practitioner if the patient does not attend appointments.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander patients will need a culturally appropriate referral. To view the optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and the corresponding quick reference guide, visit the Cancer Australia website <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/affected-cancer/atsi/resources-health>>.

Download the consumer resources – *Checking for cancer* and *Cancer* from the Cancer Australia website <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/affected-cancer/atsi/resources-people>>.

For Aboriginal-led health information and services visit the NACCHO website <www.naccho.org.au>.

2.3.1 Timeframe for referring to a specialist

Urgency of investigation depends on the severity of cytopenias and clinical presentation. In most cases this should occur **within four weeks** of receiving all initial tests. Urgent referral should occur **within two weeks** if listed indicators of concern are observed.

2.4 Support and communication

2.4.1 Supportive care

The patient's general practitioner should consider an individualised supportive care assessment where appropriate to identify the needs of an individual, their carer and family. Refer to appropriate support services as required. See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'.

A number of specific needs may arise for patients at this time:

- assistance for dealing with the emotional distress and/or anger of dealing with a potential cancer diagnosis, anxiety/depression, interpersonal problems and adjustment difficulties
- management of physical symptoms
- encouragement and support to increase levels of exercise (Cormie et al. 2018; Hayes et al. 2019).

For more information refer to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2015 guidelines, Suspected cancer: recognition and referral <www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng12/chapter/Recommendations-on-patient-support-safety-netting-and-the-diagnostic-process>.

For additional information on supportive care and needs that may arise for different population groups, see Appendices A, B and C.

2.4.2 Communication with patients, carers and families

The general practitioner is responsible for:

- providing patients, and their families and carers with information that clearly describes to whom they are being referred, the reason for referral and the expected timeframes for appointments
- requesting that patients notify them if the specialist has not been in contact within the expected timeframe
- considering referral options for patients living rurally or remotely
- supporting the patient while waiting for the specialist appointment (Cancer Council 13 11 20 and Leukaemia Foundation 1800 953 081 are available to act as a point of information and reassurance during the anxious period of awaiting further diagnostic information).

Patients can also visit the Leukaemia Foundation's website <www.leukaemia.org.au> or call 1800 620 420 for emotional and practical support.



More information

Refer to Principle 6 'Communication' for communication skills training programs and resources.

Step 3: Diagnosis, staging and treatment planning

Step 3 outlines the process for confirming the diagnosis and stage of cancer and for planning subsequent treatment. The guiding principle is that interaction between appropriate multidisciplinary team members should determine the treatment plan.

3.1 Specialist diagnostic work-up

The treatment team, after taking a thorough medical history and making a thorough medical examination of the patient, may need to undertake additional investigations under the guidance of a specialist.

Although the diagnosis of MDS is confirmed by a bone marrow biopsy, it may be reasonable to monitor the patient rather than proceed to bone marrow biopsy. This will depend on the severity of cytopenias, patient request, age, comorbidities and the need for therapeutic intervention.

Further investigations and blood tests to exclude other causes of cytopenias and dysplasia should be completed.

Diagnosis and prognosis of MDS is based on peripheral blood and bone marrow aspirate and trephine. Investigations of the bone marrow aspirate may include (Sekeres & Cutler 2014):

- immunophenotyping
- cytogenetics and, in some circumstances, FISH studies
- molecular testing or a myeloid gene panel test for some patients.

3.1.1 Timeframe for completing investigations

Urgency of investigation timeframes depends on the severity of cytopenias and clinical presentation. Results of bone marrow biopsies and ancillary investigations should be returned **within two weeks**. Specialised testing such as cytogenetics and molecular tests may take longer.

3.1.2 Genetic testing (family risk)

An inherited predisposition panel as part of the work-up may be appropriate in selected patients with MDS. This should be undertaken after appropriate counselling and discussion with a haematologist with experience in this area and/or a genetic counsellor.

Anyone diagnosed with cancer should have a detailed personal and family cancer history taken. Consult relevant guidelines <<https://www.eviq.org.au/cancer-genetics/referral-guidelines>> to determine if referral to a familial cancer service is appropriate.

A familial cancer service assessment can determine if genetic testing is appropriate. Genetic testing is likely to be offered when there is at least a 10 per cent chance of finding a causative 'gene error' (pathogenic gene variant; previously called a mutation). Usually testing begins with a variant search in a person who has had cancer (a diagnostic genetic test). If a pathogenic gene variant is identified, variant-specific testing is available to relatives to see if they have or have not inherited the familial gene variant (predictive genetic testing).

Medicare funds some genetic tests via a Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) item number but most are not. Depending on the personal and family history, the relevant state health system may fund public sector genetic testing.

Pre-test counselling and informed consent is required before any genetic testing. In some states the treating team can offer 'mainstream' diagnostic genetic testing, after which referral is made to a familial cancer service if a pathogenic gene variant is identified. The familial cancer service can provide risk management advice, facilitate family risk notification and arrange predictive genetic testing for the family.

Visit the Centre for Genetics Education website <<https://www.genetics.edu.au/SitePages/Home.aspx>> for basic information about cancer in a family.

For detailed information and referral guidelines for MDS risk assessment and consideration of genetic testing, consult these resources:

- MDCalc <<https://www.mdcalc.com/revised-international-prognostic-scoring-system-ipss-r-myelodysplastic-syndrome-mds>>
- Medscape <<https://reference.medscape.com/calculator/123/mds-international-prognostic-scoring-system-ipss>>.

3.1.3 Pharmacogenetics

Pharmacogenetics describes how individual genetic differences can lead to differences in the way certain medicines interact with the body. These interactions can affect the effectiveness of medications and any side effects. Applying pharmacogenetics to treatment planning may help patients to be prescribed the most appropriate treatment at the optimal dose from the beginning of treatment (NHMRC 2013).

3.2 Prognostic assessment

Prognostic assessment, rather than staging, is a critical element in treatment planning and should be clearly documented in the patient's medical record.

Prognosis and progression to AML can vary among patients depending on the risk of their disease (Bewersdorf et al. 2020; Sekeres & Cutler 2014; Volpe & Komoroji 2021). Due to the diversity of MDS subtypes, they are categorised as higher risk and lower risk. This is determined by prognostic systems based most commonly on blast percentage, cytogenetic risk groups and cytopenias but may also include age, performance status, transfusion needs and other clinical (and increasingly molecular) factors.

Commonly used prognostic scoring systems for MDS include:

- the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS)
- the Revised IPSS (IPSS-R) (Fenaux et al. 2021; Harris 2020; Sekeres & Cutler 2014).

Prognostic systems are evolving; please refer to the most current classification systems. In this document we define:

- higher risk MDS as an IPSS score of intermediate (2 or higher), or an IPSS-R score of high/very high risk
- lower risk MDS as low/intermediate (1 in IPSS and very low, low or intermediate in IPSS-R) (Volpe & Komoroji 2021).

Note that 'intermediate' on IPSS-R is sometimes considered to be higher risk and sometimes considered lower risk, depending on the patient's individual circumstances.

As prognostic scoring systems evolve, it is likely that results of molecular testing will also be incorporated into such models.

3.3 Performance status

Patient performance status is a central factor in cancer care and should be clearly documented in the patient's medical record.

Performance status should be measured and recorded using an established scale such as the Karnofsky scale or the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale.

3.4 Treatment planning

3.4.1 Key considerations beyond treatment recommendations

A number of factors should be considered at this stage:

- the patient's overall condition, life expectancy, personal preferences and decision-making capacity
- discussing the multidisciplinary team approach to care with the patient
- appropriate and timely referral to an MDM
- pregnancy and fertility
- support with travel and accommodation
- teleconferencing or videoconferencing as required.

3.4.2 Timing for multidisciplinary team planning

If indicated for the patient, the multidisciplinary team should meet to discuss newly diagnosed patients before definitive treatment so that a treatment plan can be recommended and there can be early preparation for the follow-up phase. The level of discussion may vary, depending on the patient's clinical and supportive care factors. Some patients with non-complex cancers may not be discussed by a multidisciplinary team; instead, the team may have treatment plan protocols that will be applied if the patient's case (cancer) meets the criteria. If patients are not discussed at an MDM, they should at least be named on the agenda for noting. The proposed treatment must be recorded in the patient's medical record and should be recorded in an MDM database where one exists.

Teams may agree on standard treatment protocols for non-complex care, facilitating patient review (by exception) and associated data capture.

Results of all relevant tests and access to images should be available for the MDM. Information about the patient's concerns, preferences and social and cultural circumstances should also be available.

3.4.3 Responsibilities of the multidisciplinary team

The multidisciplinary team requires administrative support in developing the agenda for the meeting, for collating patient information and to ensure appropriate expertise around the table to create an effective treatment plan for the patient. The MDM has a chair and multiple lead clinicians. Each patient case will be presented by a lead clinician (usually someone who has seen the patient before the MDM). In public hospital settings, the registrar or clinical fellow may take this role. A member of the team records the outcomes of the discussion and treatment plan in the patient history and ensures these details are communicated to the patient's general practitioner. The team should consider the patient's values, beliefs and cultural needs as appropriate to ensure the treatment plan is in line with these.

3.4.4 Members of the multidisciplinary team for MDS

The multidisciplinary team should be composed of the core disciplines that are integral to providing good care. Team membership should reflect both clinical and supportive care aspects of care. Pathology input is important.

See Appendix E for a list of team members who may be included in the multidisciplinary team for MDS.

Core members of the multidisciplinary team are expected to attend most MDMs either in person or remotely via virtual mechanisms. Additional expertise or specialist services may be required for some patients. An Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural expert should be considered, where feasible at clinical appointments, for all patients who identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.

3.4.5 Responsibilities of individual team members

The general practitioner who made the referral is responsible for the patient until care is passed to another practitioner who is directly involved in planning the patient's care.

The general practitioner may play a number of roles in all stages of the cancer pathway including diagnosis, referral, treatment, shared follow-up care, follow-up surveillance, coordination and continuity of care, as well as managing existing health issues and providing information and support to the patient, their family and carer.

A nominated contact person from the multidisciplinary team may be assigned responsibility for coordinating care in this phase. Care coordinators are responsible for ensuring there is continuity throughout the care process and coordination of all necessary care for a particular phase (COSA 2015). The care coordinator may change over the course of the pathway.

The lead clinician is responsible for overseeing the activity of the team and for implementing treatment within the multidisciplinary setting.

3.5 Research and clinical trials

Participation in clinical trials, patient registries and tissue banking, where available, is encouraged for patients with MDS. Cross-referral between clinical trials centres should be encouraged to facilitate participation.

For more information visit:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

3.6 Support and communication

3.6.1 Prehabilitation

Cancer prehabilitation uses a multidisciplinary approach combining exercise, nutrition and psychological strategies to prepare patients for the challenges of cancer treatment such as systemic therapy and radiation therapy. Team members may include anaesthetists, oncologists, surgeons, haematologists, clinical psychologists, exercise physiologists, physiotherapists and dietitians, among others.

Patient performance status is a central factor in cancer care and should be frequently assessed. All patients should be screened for malnutrition using a validated tool, such as the Malnutrition Screening Tool (MST). The lead clinician may refer obese or malnourished patients to a dietitian preoperatively or before other treatments begin.

Patients who currently smoke should be encouraged to stop smoking before receiving treatment. This should include an offer of referral to Quitline in addition to smoking cessation pharmacotherapy if clinically appropriate.

Evidence indicates that patients who respond well to prehabilitation may have fewer complications after treatment. For example, those who were exercising before diagnosis and patients who use prehabilitation before starting treatment may improve their physical or psychological outcomes, or both, and this helps patients to function at a higher level throughout their cancer treatment (Cormie et al. 2017; Silver 2015).

For patients with MDS, the multidisciplinary team should consider these specific prehabilitation assessments and interventions for treatment-related complications or major side effects:

- conducting a physical and psychological assessment to establish a baseline function level
- identifying impairments and providing targeted interventions to improve the patient's function level (Silver & Baima 2013)
- reviewing the patient's medication to ensure optimisation and to improve adherence to medicine used for comorbid conditions.

Following completion of primary cancer treatment, rehabilitation programs have considerable potential to enhance physical function.

3.6.2 Fertility preservation and contraception

Cancer and cancer treatment may cause fertility problems. This will depend on the age of the patient, the type of cancer and the treatment received. Infertility can range from difficulty having a child to the inability to have a child. Infertility after treatment may be temporary, lasting months to years, or permanent (AYA Cancer Fertility Preservation Guidance Working Group 2014).

Patients need to be advised about and potentially referred for discussion about fertility preservation before starting treatment and need advice about contraception before, during and after treatment. Patients and their family should be aware of the ongoing costs involved in optimising fertility. Fertility management may apply in both men and women. Fertility preservation options are different for men and women and the need for ongoing contraception applies to both men and women.

The potential for impaired fertility should be discussed and reinforced at different time points as appropriate throughout the diagnosis, treatment, surveillance and survivorship phases of care. These ongoing discussions will enable the patient and, if applicable, the family to make informed decisions. All discussions should be documented in the patient's medical record.



More information

See the Cancer Council website <www.cancervic.org.au/living-with-cancer/common-side-effects/fertility/fertility-overview.html> for more information.

3.6.3 Supportive care

See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'.

A number of specific challenges and needs may arise for patients at this time:

- assistance for dealing with psychological and emotional distress while adjusting to the diagnosis; treatment phobias; existential concerns; stress; difficulties making treatment decisions; anxiety or depression or both; psychosexual issues such as potential loss of fertility and premature menopause; history of sexual abuse; and interpersonal problems
- management of physical symptoms such as pain and fatigue (Australian Adult Cancer Pain Management Guideline Working Party 2019)
- malnutrition or undernutrition, identified using a validated nutrition screening tool such as the MST (note that many patients with a high BMI [obese patients] may also be malnourished [WHO 2018])
- support for families or carers who are distressed with the patient's cancer diagnosis
- support for families/relatives who may be distressed after learning of a genetically linked cancer diagnosis
- specific spiritual needs that may benefit from the involvement of pastoral/spiritual care.

Additionally, palliative care may be required at this stage.

For more information on supportive care and needs that may arise for different population groups, see Appendices A, B and C.

3.6.4 Communication with patients, carers and families

In discussion with the patient, the lead clinician should undertake the following:

- establish if the patient has a regular or preferred general practitioner and if the patient does not have one, then encourage them to find one
- provide written information appropriate to the health literacy of the patient about the diagnosis and treatment to the patient and carer and refer the patient to the *Guide to best cancer care* (consumer optimal care pathway) for MDS, as well as to relevant websites and support groups as appropriate such as the Leukaemia Foundation <<https://www.leukaemia.org.au/MDS>>
- provide a treatment care plan including contact details for the treating team and information on when to call the hospital
- discuss a timeframe for diagnosis and treatment with the patient and carer
- discuss the benefits of multidisciplinary care and gain the patient's consent before presenting their case at an MDM

- provide brief advice and refer to Quitline (13 7848) for behavioural intervention if the patient currently smokes (or has recently quit), and prescribe smoking cessation pharmacotherapy, if clinically appropriate
- recommend an 'integrated approach' throughout treatment regarding nutrition, exercise and minimal or no alcohol consumption among other considerations
- communicate the benefits of continued engagement with primary care during treatment for managing comorbid disease, health promotion, care coordination and holistic care
- where appropriate, review fertility needs with the patient and refer for specialist fertility management (including fertility preservation, contraception, management during pregnancy and of future pregnancies)
- be open to and encourage discussion about the diagnosis, prognosis (if the patient wishes to know) and survivorship and palliative care while clarifying the patient's preferences and needs, personal and cultural beliefs and expectations, and their ability to comprehend the communication
- encourage the patient to participate in advance care planning including considering appointing one or more substitute decision-makers and completing an advance care directive to clearly document their treatment preferences. Each state and territory has different terminology and legislation surrounding advance care directives and substitute decision-makers.

3.6.5 Communication with the general practitioner

The lead clinician has these communication responsibilities:

- involving the general practitioner from the point of diagnosis
- ensuring regular and timely communication with the general practitioner about the diagnosis, treatment plan and recommendations from MDMs and inviting them to participate in MDMs (consider using virtual mechanisms)
- supporting the role of general practice both during and after treatment
- discussing shared or team care arrangements with general practitioners or regional cancer specialists, or both, together with the patient.



More information

Refer to Principle 6 'Communication' for communication skills training programs and resources.

Step 4: Treatment

Step 4 describes the optimal treatments for MDS, the training and experience required of the treating clinicians and the health service characteristics required for optimal cancer care.

All health services must have clinical governance systems that meet the following integral requirements:

- identifying safety and quality measures
- monitoring and reporting on performance and outcomes
- identifying areas for improvement in safety and quality (ACSQHC 2020).

Step 4 outlines the treatment options for MDS. For detailed clinical information on treatment options refer to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network MDS guideline <<https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1446>>.

4.1 Treatment intent

The intent of treatment can be defined as one of the following:

- curative
- anti-cancer therapy to improve quality of life and/or longevity without expectation of cure
- supportive therapies to improve quality of life and/or longevity
- palliation.

The treatment intent should be established in a multidisciplinary setting, documented in the patient's medical record and conveyed to the patient and carer as appropriate.

The potential benefits need to be balanced against the morbidity and risks of treatment.

The lead clinician should discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment and associated potential side effects with the patient and their carer or family before treatment consent is obtained and begins so the patient can make an informed decision. Supportive care services should also be considered during this decision-making process. Patients should be asked about their use of (current or intended) complementary therapies (see Appendix D).

Timeframes for starting treatment should be informed by evidence-based guidelines where they exist. The treatment team should recognise that shorter timeframes for appropriate consultations and treatment can promote a better experience for patients.

Initiate advance care planning discussions with patients before treatment begins (this could include appointing a substitute decision-maker and completing an advance care directive). Formally involving a palliative care team/service may benefit any patient, so it is important to know and respect each person's preference (Australian Government Department of Health 2021a).

4.2 Treatment options

Treatment options for MDS patients will depend on patient factors (age, comorbidities, patient wishes) as well as disease factors (risk scores, cytopenias, symptoms).

All patients will receive best practice medical supportive care including education, active surveillance and monitoring, transfusions as appropriate and prompt infection control. There may be some lower risk MDS patients who are not on any active interventions who may be suitable for monitoring and surveillance with their general practitioner.

The range of disease-specific/directed additional treatment options varies across different risk groups depending on age and comorbidities. Options include:

- supportive interventions such as GCSF or EPO in some patients
- transfusion support
- chemotherapy – low dose or more intensive
- haemopoietic stem cell transplant
- clinical trials
- other specific agents depending on the patient's clinical presentation or karyotype.

The funding status of various treatment approaches is dynamic. Prescribers should ensure familiarity with eligibility criteria and discuss any financial implications of all treatment recommendations with patients before starting treatment.

4.2.1 Supportive therapies

Supportive therapies are required in all MDS patients and may be the only long-term treatment required for those with lower risk disease, or for older or unfit patients and those who have not responded to other treatments (Fenaux et al. 2021).

Supportive therapy may include the following:

- Conduct regular blood tests and review appointments with the patient's general practitioner and specialist to monitor progress and symptoms.
- Manage anaemia, including red blood cell transfusions – frequency will be determined by symptoms and comorbidities.
- Manage bleeding, which may include platelet transfusions or tranexamic acid when indicated.
- Manage infections – prompt antibiotics for infection and consideration of short-term use of growth factors (e.g. GCSF). Infectious disease consultation may be required if infections recur. Anti-fungal prophylaxis may be considered in patients with persistent severe neutropenia depending on local guidelines and practice.
- Consider iron chelation therapy for transfusional iron overload. In general, this is considered for patients with significant transfusion burden and evidence for iron overload. Both oral and parenteral chelation therapy options are available and could be tailored to the patient depending on clinical need.
- Manage gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, loss of appetite, dysgeusia, diarrhoea or constipation as a result of treatment. This requires optimal symptom control with medicine, nutritional advice, analgesia and mouth care (referral to a dietitian may be required if dietary intake is affected).

Timeframe for starting treatment

The timeframe for starting treatment should be guided by clinical presentation and urgency and disease progression over time.

Training and experience required of the physician

Documented evidence of the physician's training and experience, including their specific (sub-specialty) experience with MDS and procedures to be undertaken, should be available.

Health service characteristics

To provide safe and quality care for patients, health services should have these features:

- medical staff availability
- diagnostic imaging
- pathology.

4.2.2 Systemic therapy – lower risk MDS

In patients with lower risk MDS, anaemia is the most common cytopenia. Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents may be used as first-line therapy.

Available treatment options include (Stojkov et al. 2020; Volpe & Komoroji 2021):

- lenalidomide – specifically approved for patients with transfusion-dependent lower risk MDS with del(5q) abnormality in their karyotype analysis
- hypomethylating agents (HMAs) including azacitidine in patients who progress to higher risk MDS
- immunosuppressive therapy to provide some haematological response among selected subsets of patients.

Timeframe for starting treatment

The timeframe for starting treatment should be guided by clinical presentation and urgency.

Training and experience required of the physician

Documented evidence of the physician's training and experience (e.g. Fellow of the Royal Australian College of Physicians or equivalent) with adequate training and experience that enables institutional credentialing and agreed scope of practice in haematology.

Health service characteristics

To provide safe and quality care for patients having treatments, health services should have these features:

- critical care support
- 24-hour medical staff availability
- 24-hour high dependence or intensive care unit
- diagnostic imaging
- pathology diagnostics
- ready access to blood banking and transfusion services.

4.2.3 Systemic therapy – higher risk MDS

Survival for these patients is generally poor, so if appropriate for the disease, directed therapy treatment should be initiated **promptly**.

Standard therapies include (Bewersdorf et al. 2020; Sekeres & Cutler 2014) the following:

- hypomethylating agents (HMAs azacitidine and decitabine). Azacitidine has shown a survival benefit in patients with higher risk MDS and is the mainstay of therapy, with clinical benefit and haematological responses seen even in those who don't achieve a complete remission. Treatment is usually continued for at least 6 months and continued based on response, though dose reductions or delays may be required in some patients.
- AML induction chemotherapy. This can be considered in those with a high blast count and who are eligible for intensive therapy.
- Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation close to the time of diagnosis, depending on the patient's goals of therapy. Consider proceeding to transplantation soon after an optimal donor is located.
- In the interim period before transplantation, HMA therapy, AML induction chemotherapy or enrolment in a clinical trial should be considered to prevent disease progression, although the optimal pre-transplantation therapy is unknown.

Timeframe for starting treatment

For symptomatic patients with higher risk disease, a decision about disease-specific therapy should be made and treatment begun **within the first six weeks** of initial specialist consultation. At times, depending on disease stability and symptoms, ongoing close monitoring could be considered depending on the patient's circumstances.

Training and experience required of the physician

Documented evidence of the physician's training and experience (e.g. Fellow of the Royal Australian College of Physicians or equivalent) with adequate training and experience that enables institutional credentialing and agreed scope of practice in haematology.

To oversee higher risk MDS patient care, the physician should have worked or be working in a team with experience in managing MDS and AML, and specifically the use of HMAs or intensive chemotherapy.

Documented evidence of the physician training and experience, including their specific (sub-specialty) experience with MDS and procedures to be undertaken, should be available.

Cancer nurses should have accredited training in these areas:

- anti-cancer treatment administration
- specialised nursing care for patients undergoing cancer treatments, including side effects and symptom management
- the handling and disposal of cytotoxic waste (ACSQHC 2020).

Systemic therapy should be prepared by a pharmacist whose background includes adequate training in systemic therapy medication, including dosing calculations according to protocols, formulations and/or preparation.

In a setting where no haematologist or medical oncologist is locally available (e.g. regional or remote areas), some components of less complex therapies may be delivered by a general practitioner or nurse with training and experience that enables credentialing and agreed scope of practice within this area. This should be in accordance with a detailed treatment plan or agreed protocol, and with communication as agreed with the medical oncologist or as clinically required.

Health service characteristics

To provide safe and quality care for patients having systemic therapy for higher risk MDS, health services should have these features:

- critical care support
- 24-hour medical staff availability
- 24-hour high dependence or intensive care unit
- diagnostic imaging
- pathology diagnostics
- ready access to blood banking and transfusion services.

4.2.4 Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation is the only potentially curative treatment of MDS (Bewersdorf et al. 2020; Skeres & Cutler 2014). In this procedure, the patient receives blood-forming cells (stem cells) from a healthy donor (related or unrelated) to replace their own stem cells following chemotherapy and, in some cases, radiation.

Patients may have additional supportive care requirements to address the immunosuppressive effects and long-term side effects of stem cell transplantation. Issues may include infertility, GVHD, increased risk of infection, iron overload or anaemia, bleeding, mouth ulcers and fatigue.

Timeframe for starting treatment

A referral to a bone marrow transplant specialist should occur once transplantation is considered a potential treatment option for a patient with higher risk MDS and occasionally lower risk MDS.

Early referral to a transplant specialist is recommended for patients under 70 years of age and with higher risk MDS. Age-specific frailty scores and performance status should be considered for such a referral rather than an absolute age cut-off.

Training and experience required of the physician

Documented evidence of the physician's training and experience (e.g. Fellow of the Royal Australian College of Physicians or equivalent) with adequate training and experience that enables institutional credentialing and agreed scope of practice in bone marrow transplantation.

Documented evidence of the physician's training and experience, including their specific (sub-specialty) experience with MDS and procedures to be undertaken, should be available.

Health service characteristics

To provide safe and quality care for patients having haematopoietic stem cell transplant, health services should have these features:

- critical care support
- 24-hour medical staff availability including access to consultative medical subspecialty services
- 24-hour operating room access, high dependency unit and intensive care unit
- bone marrow transplantation unit with ancillary medical and allied health support
- diagnostic imaging
- pathology diagnostics
- ready access to blood banking and transfusion services.

4.2.5 Emerging therapies

Combinations of hypomethylating agents (HMAs, especially with immune checkpoint inhibitors, have shown promising signals in both the frontline and HMA-refractory setting.

Several other novel agents including orally available and longer acting HMAs, the BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax, oral agents targeting driver mutations (IDH1 and IDH2, FLT3), immunotherapies and new options for intensive chemotherapy are being studied in clinical trials (Bewersdorf et al. 2020). Examples of non-chemotherapy approaches include therapy targeting marrow microenvironment and immune checkpoints such as TIM-3 and antiCD47 monoclonal antibody-magrolimab.

Examples of new treatments for adults with MDS, approved by the America's Food and Drug Administration, include luspatercept (Reblozyl) for lower risk MDS and the oral combination of decitabine and cedazuridine (Inqovi) for higher risk MDS (Harris 2020).

Clinical trials for MDS may be available, especially in those with higher risk disease, and they may also be eligible for AML trials. Refer to section 4.4 for clinical trial resources.

The key principle for precision medicine is prompt and clinically oriented communication and coordination with an accredited laboratory and pathologist. Tissue analysis is integral for access to emerging therapies and, as such, tissue specimens should be treated carefully to enable additional histopathological or molecular diagnostic tests in certain scenarios.

4.3 Palliative care

Palliative care is a multidisciplinary approach to symptom management, psychosocial support and assistance in identifying care goals for patients with serious illness and their families.

Early referral to palliative care can improve the quality of life for people with cancer and in some cases may be associated with survival benefits (Haines 2011; Temel et al. 2010; Zimmermann et al. 2014). This is particularly true for cancers with poor prognosis.

The lead clinician should ensure patients receive timely and appropriate referral to palliative care services. Referral should be based on need rather than prognosis. Emphasise the value of palliative care in improving symptom management and quality of life to patients and their carers.

The 'Dying to Talk' resource may help health professionals when initiating discussions with patients about future care needs (see 'More information'). Ensure that carers and families receive information, support and guidance about their role in palliative care (Palliative Care Australia 2018).

Patients, with support from their family or carer and treating team, should be encouraged to consider appointing a substitute decision-maker and to complete an advance care directive.

Refer to step 6 for a more detailed description of managing patients with relapsed or progressive disease.



More information

These online resources are useful:

- Advance Care Planning Australia <www.advancecareplanning.org.au>
- Care Search <www.caresearch.com.au/>
- the Palliative Care resource kit <www.health.gov.au/health-topics/palliative-care>
- Palliative Care Australia (for patients and carers) <www.palliativecare.org.au>.

4.4 Research and clinical trials

The team should support the patient to participate in research or clinical trials where available and appropriate. Many emerging treatments are only available on clinical trials that may require referral to certain trial centres.

For more information visit:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

4.5 Support and communication

4.5.1 Supportive care

See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'.

A number of specific challenges and needs may arise for patients at this time:

- assistance for dealing with emotional and psychological issues, including body image concerns, fatigue, neuropathy, sleep changes, quitting smoking, traumatic experiences, existential anxiety, treatment phobias, anxiety/depression, interpersonal problems and sexuality concerns
- potential isolation from normal support networks, particularly for rural patients who are staying away from home for treatment
- management of physical symptoms such as bruising or bleeding and recurrent infections
- decline in mobility or functional status as a result of disease progression
- assistance with beginning or resuming regular exercise with referral to an exercise physiologist or physiotherapist (COSA 2018; Hayes et al. 2019).

Early involvement of general practitioners may lead to improved cancer survivorship care following acute treatment. General practitioners can address many supportive care needs through good communication and clear guidance from the specialist team (Emery 2014).

Patients, carers and families may have these additional issues and needs:

- financial issues related to loss of income (through reduced capacity to work or loss of work) and additional expenses as a result of illness or treatment
- advance care planning, which may involve appointing a substitute decision-maker and completing an advance care directive
- legal issues (completing a will, care of dependent children) or making an insurance, superannuation or social security claim on the basis of terminal illness or permanent disability.

Cancer Council's 13 11 20 and the Leukaemia Foundation's 1800 620 420 information and support line can assist with information and referral to local support services.

For more information on supportive care and needs that may arise for different population groups, see Appendices A, B and C.

4.5.2 Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation may be required at any point of the care pathway. If it is required before treatment, it is referred to as prehabilitation (see section 3.6.1).

All members of the multidisciplinary team have an important role in promoting rehabilitation. Team members may include occupational therapists, speech pathologists, dietitians, social workers, psychologists, physiotherapists, exercise physiologists and rehabilitation specialists.

To maximise the safety and therapeutic effect of exercise for people with cancer, all team members should recommend that people with cancer work towards achieving, and then maintaining, recommended levels of exercise and physical activity as per relevant guidelines. Exercise should be prescribed and delivered under the direction of an accredited exercise physiologist or physiotherapist with experience in cancer care (Vardy et al. 2019). The focus of intervention from these health professionals is tailoring evidence-based exercise recommendations to the individual patient's needs and abilities, with a focus on the patient transitioning to ongoing self-managed exercise.

Other issues that may need to be dealt with include managing cancer-related fatigue, improving physical endurance, achieving independence in daily tasks, optimising nutritional intake, returning to work and ongoing adjustment to cancer and its consequences. Referrals to dietitians, psychosocial support, return-to-work programs and community support organisations can help in managing these issues.

4.5.3 Communication with patients, carers and families

The lead or nominated clinician should take responsibility for these tasks:

- discussing treatment options with patients and carers, including the treatment intent and expected outcomes, and providing a written version of the plan and any referrals
- providing patients and carers with information about the possible side effects of treatment, managing symptoms between active treatments, how to access care, self-management strategies and emergency contacts
- encouraging patients to use question prompt lists and audio recordings, and to have a support person present to aid informed decision making
- initiating a discussion about advance care planning and involving carers or family if the patient wishes.

4.5.4 Communication with the general practitioner

The general practitioner plays an important role in coordinating care for patients, including helping to manage side effects and other comorbidities, and offering support when patients have questions or worries. For most patients, simultaneous care provided by their general practitioner is very important.

The lead clinician, in discussion with the patient's general practitioner, should consider these points:

- the general practitioner's role in symptom management, supportive care and referral to local services
- using a chronic disease management plan and mental health care management plan
- how to ensure regular and timely two-way communication about:
 - the treatment plan, including intent and potential side effects
 - supportive and palliative care requirements
 - the patient's prognosis and their understanding of this
 - enrolment in research or clinical trials
 - changes in treatment or medications
 - the presence of an advance care directive or appointment of a substitute decision-maker
 - recommendations from the multidisciplinary team.



More information

Refer to Principle 6 'Communication' for communication skills training programs and resources.

Step 5: Care after initial treatment and recovery

Survivors generally need regular, ongoing, long-term follow-up because treatments for MDS are generally not curative. The survivorship care plan therefore may need to be updated to reflect changes in the patient's clinical status and psychosocial needs.

The term 'cancer survivor' describes a person living with cancer, from the point of diagnosis until the end of life. Survivorship care in Australia has traditionally been provided to patients who have completed active treatment and are in the follow-up phase. But there is now a shift to provide survivorship care and services from the point of diagnosis to improve cancer-related outcomes.

Cancer survivors may experience inferior quality of life and cancer-related symptoms for up to five years after their diagnosis (Jefford et al. 2017). Distress, fear of cancer recurrence, fatigue, obesity and sedentary lifestyle are common symptoms reported by cancer survivors (Vardy et al. 2019).

Due to an ageing population and improvements in treatments and supportive care, the number of people surviving cancer is increasing. International research shows there is an important need to focus on helping cancer survivors cope with life beyond their acute treatment. Cancer survivors often face issues that are different from those experienced during active treatment for cancer and may include a range of issues, as well as unmet needs that affect their quality of life (Lisy et al. 2019; Tan et al. 2019).

Physical, emotional and psychological issues include fear of cancer recurrence, cancer-related fatigue, pain, distress, anxiety, depression, cognitive changes and sleep issues (Lisy et al. 2019). Late effects may occur months or years later and depend on the type of cancer treatment. Survivors and their carers may experience impacted relationships and practical issues including difficulties with return to work or study and financial hardship. They may also experience changes to sex and intimacy. Fertility, contraception and pregnancy care after treatment may require specialist input.

The Institute of Medicine, in its report *From cancer patient to cancer survivor: Lost in transition*, describes the essential components of survivorship care listed in the paragraph above, including interventions and surveillance mechanisms to manage the issues a cancer survivor may face (Hewitt et al. 2006). Access to a range of health professions may be required including physiotherapy, occupational therapy, social work, dietetics, clinical psychology, fertility and palliative care. Coordinating care between all providers is essential to ensure the patient's needs are met.

Cancer survivors are more likely than the general population to have and/or develop comorbidities (Vijayvergia & Denlinger 2015). Health professionals should support survivors to self-manage their own health needs and to make informed decisions about lifestyle behaviours that promote wellness and improve their quality of life (Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre 2010; Cancer Australia 2017; NCSI 2015).

5.1 Transitioning to shared care models of treatment

The transition from active treatment to follow-up care is critical to long-term health. In MDS, this transition is clearer for patients who received time-limited therapy.

For patients who received continuous and ongoing therapies, the transitions can be indistinct, but these principles may still apply once disease is well controlled and a stable treatment program is established. In some cases, people will need ongoing, hospital-based care, and in other cases a shared follow-up care arrangement with their general practitioner may be appropriate. This will vary depending on the type and stage of cancer and needs to be planned.

Shared follow-up care involves the joint participation of specialists and general practitioners in the planned delivery of follow-up and survivorship care. A shared care plan is developed that outlines the responsibilities of members of the care team, the follow-up schedule, triggers for review, plans for rapid access into each setting and agreement regarding format, frequency and triggers for communication.

A designated member of the multidisciplinary team (most commonly nursing or medical staff involved in the patient's care) should provide the patient with a needs assessment and treatment summary and develop a survivorship care plan or a chronic disease management plan in conjunction with the patient. This should include a comprehensive list of issues identified by all members of the multidisciplinary team involved in the patient's care and by the patient. These documents are key resources for the patient and their healthcare providers and can be used to improve communication and care coordination.

The **treatment summary** should cover, but is not limited to:

- the diagnostic tests performed and results
- diagnosis including stage, prognostic or severity score
- disease characteristics
- treatment received (types and dates)
- current toxicities (severity, management and expected outcomes)
- interventions and treatment plans from other health providers
- potential long-term and late effects of treatment
- supportive care services provided
- follow-up schedule
- contact information for key healthcare providers.

5.2 Follow-up care

Responsibility for follow-up care should be agreed between the lead clinician, the general practitioner, relevant members of the multidisciplinary team and the patient. This is based on guideline recommendations for follow-up care, as well as the patient's current and anticipated physical and emotional needs and preferences.

Evidence comparing shared follow-up care and specialised care indicates equivalence in outcomes including recurrence rate, cancer survival and quality of life (Cancer Research in Primary Care 2016).

Ongoing communication between healthcare providers involved in care and a clear understanding of roles and responsibilities is key to effective survivorship care.

In particular circumstances, other models of follow-up care can be safely added such as nurse-led models (Monterosso et al. 2019). Other models of follow-up care can be provided in these locations or by these health professionals:

- in a shared care setting
- in a general practice setting
- by non-medical staff
- by allied health professionals or nurses
- in a non-face-to-face setting (e.g. by telehealth).

A designated member of the team should document the agreed survivorship care plan. The survivorship care plan or chronic disease management plan should support wellness and have a strong emphasis on healthy lifestyle changes such as a balanced diet, a non-sedentary lifestyle, weight management and a mix of aerobic and resistance exercise (COSA 2018; Hayes et al. 2019).

This survivorship care plan should also cover, but is not limited to:

- what medical follow-up is required (surveillance for recurrence or secondary and metachronous cancers, screening and assessment for medical and psychosocial effects)
- model of follow-up care, the health professional providing care and where it will be delivered
- care plans from other health providers to manage the consequences of cancer and cancer treatment
- wellbeing, primary and secondary prevention health recommendations that align with chronic disease management principles
- rehabilitation recommendations
- available support services
- a process for rapid re-entry to specialist medical services for suspected relapsed or progressive disease.

Processes for rapid re-entry to hospital care should be documented and communicated to the patient and relevant stakeholders.

Care in the initial follow-up phase is driven by predicted risks (e.g. the risk of relapse or progression, developing late effects of treatment and psychological issues) as well as individual clinical and supportive care needs. It is important that follow-up care is based on evidence and is consistent with guidelines. Not all people will require ongoing tests or clinical review and may be discharged to general practice follow-up.

The lead clinician should discuss (and general practitioner reinforce) options for follow-up. It is critical for optimal aftercare that the designated member of the treatment team educates the patient about the symptoms of recurrence.

General practitioners (including nurses) can:

- connect patients to local community services and programs
- manage long-term and late effects
- manage comorbidities
- provide wellbeing information and advice to promote self-management
- screen for cancer and non-cancerous conditions.



More information

Templates and other resources to help with developing treatment summaries and survivorship care plans are available from these organisations:

- Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre
- Cancer Australia – Principles of Cancer Survivorship
- Cancer Council Australia and states and territories
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia – Model of Survivorship Care
- eviQ – Cancer survivorship: introductory course
- MyCarePlan.org.au
- South Australian Cancer Service – Statewide Survivorship Framework resources
- American Society of Clinical Oncology – guidelines.

5.2.1 Preventing progression

Not smoking, eating a healthy diet, being sun smart, avoiding or limiting alcohol intake, being physically active and maintaining a healthy body weight may help reduce the risk of progression or a second primary cancer.

Encourage and support all cancer survivors to reduce modifiable risk factors for other cancers and chronic diseases. Ongoing coordination of care between providers should also deal with any comorbidities, particularly ongoing complex and life-threatening comorbid conditions.

5.3 Research and clinical trials

Support cancer survivors to participate in research or clinical trials where they are available and appropriate. These might include studies to understand survivors' issues, to better manage treatment side effects, or to improve models of care and quality of life.

For more information visit:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

5.4 Support and communication

5.4.1 Supportive care

See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'. Additionally, the 'Cancer Survivors Unmet Needs (CaSun)' is another validated screening tool that may help health professionals to identify the unmet needs of patients during survivorship.

A number of specific challenges and needs may arise for cancer survivors:

- financial and employment issues (e.g. loss of income and assistance with returning to work, and the cost of treatment, travel and accommodation)
- appointing a substitute decision-maker and completing an advance care directive
- legal issues such as completing a will.

For more information on supportive care and needs that may arise for different population groups, see Appendices A, B and C.

5.4.2 Rehabilitation and recovery

Rehabilitation may be required at any point of the care pathway from the pre-treatment phase through to disease-free survival and palliative care (Cormie et al. 2017).

Issues that may need to be dealt with include managing cancer-related fatigue, coping with cognitive changes, improving physical endurance, achieving independence in daily tasks, returning to study or work and ongoing adjustment to cancer and its consequences.

Exercise is a safe and effective intervention that improves the physical and emotional health and wellbeing of cancer patients. Exercise should be embedded as part of standard practice in cancer care and be viewed as an adjunct therapy that helps counteract the adverse effects of cancer and its treatment.

Cancer survivors may find referral to specific cancer rehabilitation, optimisation programs or community-based rehabilitation appropriate and beneficial. Other options include referral to allied health supports through team care arrangements and mental health plans. Some community support organisations (cancer-related non-government, not-for-profit and charities) provide services to cancer survivors.

5.4.3 Communication with patients, carers and families

The lead clinician (themselves or by delegation) should take responsibility for these tasks:

- explaining the model of follow-up care and the roles of health professionals involved in follow-up care including the role of general practice
- explaining the treatment summary and follow-up care plan
- discussing the development of a shared follow-up and survivorship care plan where a model of shared follow-up care has been agreed
- discussing how to manage any of the physical, psychological or emotional issues identified
- providing information on the signs and symptoms of relapsed disease or progression
- providing a survivorship care plan with information on secondary prevention and healthy living
- providing contact details of the care team involved
- providing clear information about the role and benefits of palliative care and advance care planning.

5.4.4 Communication with the general practitioner

The lead clinician should ensure regular, timely, two-way communication with the general practitioner about:

- the patient's progress
- the follow-up care plan
- potential late effects
- supportive and palliative care requirements
- any shared care arrangements
- clarification of various roles in patient care
- a process for rapid re-entry to medical services for patients with suspected recurrence or if there are other concerns.



More information

Refer to Principle 6 'Communication' for communication skills training programs and resources.

Step 6: Managing refractory, relapsed, residual or progressive disease

Patients who present with relapsed or progressive MDS should be managed by a multidisciplinary team and offered timely referral to appropriate physical, practical and emotional support, including palliative care. Where progression to AML has occurred, specific therapy directed to AML may be considered if clinically appropriate for that person.

Step 6 is concerned with managing relapsed or progressive disease. The likelihood of relapse or progressive disease depends on many factors usually related to the type of cancer, the stage of cancer at presentation and the effectiveness of treatment. Some cancers cannot be eradicated even with the best initial treatment. But controlling disease and disease-related symptoms is often possible, depending on the clinical situation.

Refer to the *Optimal care pathway for people with acute myeloid leukaemia* <www.cancervic.org.au/for-health-professionals/optimal-care-pathways>.

6.1 Signs and symptoms of relapsed or progressive disease

Some patients will have relapsed or progressive disease on initial presentation. Others may present with symptoms of refractory or progressive disease after a previous cancer diagnosis. Access to the best available therapies, including clinical trials, as well as treatment overseen by a multidisciplinary team, are crucial to achieving the best outcomes for anyone with relapsed or progressive disease.

Signs and symptoms will depend on the type of cancer initially diagnosed. They may be discovered by the patient or by surveillance in the follow-up care period. Symptoms can often present as:

- progressive cytopenias and presence of or increasing blasts on the blood film
- progressive fatigue
- bleeding and bruising
- recurrent infections
- increasing transfusion dependence.

6.2 Managing relapsed or progressive disease

MDS is generally considered incurable, other than for those who have a successful allogeneic stem cell transplant. Many patients who relapse after initial therapy have worsening symptoms or transfusion dependence and/or progress to AML.

From the time of diagnosis, the team should offer patients appropriate psychosocial care, supportive care, advance care planning and symptom-related interventions as part of their routine care. The approach should be personalised to meet the patient's individual needs, values and preferences. The full complement of supportive care measures as described throughout the optimal care pathway and in Appendices A, B and C should be offered to assist patients and their families and carers to cope. These measures should be updated as the patient's circumstances change.

Survivorship care should be considered and offered at an early stage. Many people live with advanced cancer for many months or years. As survival is improving in many patients, survivorship issues should be considered as part of routine care. Health professionals should therefore be ready to change and adapt treatment strategies according to disease status, prior treatment tolerance and toxicities and the patient's quality of life, in addition to the patient's priorities and life plans.

6.3 Multidisciplinary team

If there is an indication that a patient's cancer has become refractory or progressed, care should be provided under the guidance of a treating specialist. Each patient should be evaluated to determine if referral to the original multidisciplinary team is necessary. Often referral back to the original multidisciplinary team will not be necessary unless there are obvious aspects of care involving different therapeutic and supportive care disciplines not otherwise accessible. The multidisciplinary team may include new members such as palliative care specialists.

6.4 Treatment

Treatment will depend on the, extent of relapsed or progressive disease, previous management and the patient's preferences.

In managing people with relapsed or progressive MDS, treatment may include these options:

- clinical trials
- treatment for AML if there is progression to AML, if clinically appropriate
- supportive medical management
- palliative care.

The potential goals of treatment should be discussed, respecting the patient's cultural values. Wherever possible, written information should be provided.

Encourage early referral to clinical trials or accepting an invitation to participate in research.

6.5 Advance care planning

Advance care planning is important for all patients with a cancer diagnosis but especially those with relapsed or progressive disease. Patients should be encouraged to think and talk about their healthcare values and preferences with family or carers, appoint a substitute decision-maker and consider developing an advance care directive to convey their preferences for future health care in the event they become unable to communicate their wishes (Australian Government Department of Health 2021a).



More information

Refer to section 4.3 'More information' for links to resources.

Refer patients and carers to Advance Care Planning Australia <www.advancereplanning.org.au> or to the Advance Care Planning National Phone Advisory Service on 1300 208 582.

6.6 Palliative care

Early referral to palliative care can improve the quality of life for people with cancer and in some cases may be associated with survival benefits (Haines 2011; Temel et al. 2010; Zimmermann et al. 2014). The treatment team should emphasise the value of palliative care in improving symptom management and quality of life to patients and their carers. Refer to section 4.3 for more detailed information.

The lead clinician should ensure timely and appropriate referral to palliative care services. Referral to palliative care services should be based on the patient's need and potential for benefit, not prognosis.



More information

Refer to the end of section 4.3 'Palliative care' for links to resources.

6.7 Research and clinical trials

The treatment team should support the patient to participate in research and clinical trials where available and appropriate.

For more information visit:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials/>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

6.8 Support and communication

6.8.1 Supportive care

See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'.

A number of specific challenges and needs may arise at this time for patients:

- assistance for dealing with emotional and psychological distress resulting from fear of death or dying, existential concerns, anticipatory grief, communicating wishes to loved ones, interpersonal problems and sexuality concerns
- potential isolation from normal support networks, particularly for rural patients who are staying away from home for treatment
- cognitive changes memory, attention and concentration (a patient may appoint someone to make medical, financial and legal decisions on their behalf – a substitute decision-maker – before and in case they experience cognitive decline)
- management of physical symptoms including fatigue, bruising or bleeding, and recurrent infections
- management of gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, loss of appetite, dysgeusia, diarrhoea or constipation as a result of treatment, which requires optimal symptom control with medicine, nutritional advice, analgesia and mouth care (referral to a dietitian may be required if dietary intake is affected)

- decline in mobility or functional status as a result of progressive or refractory disease and treatments (referral to physiotherapy or occupational therapy may be required)
- coping with hair loss and changes in physical appearance (refer to the Look Good, Feel Better program – see ‘Resource list’)
- appointing a substitute decision-maker and completing an advance care directive
- financial issues as a result of disease recurrence such as gaining early access to superannuation and insurance
- legal issues (completing a will, care of dependent children) and making an insurance, superannuation or social security claim on the basis of terminal illness or permanent disability.

6.8.2 Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation may be required at any point of the refractory or progressive disease care pathway, from preparing for treatment through to palliative care. Issues that may need to be dealt with include managing cancer-related fatigue, improving physical endurance, achieving independence in daily tasks, returning to work and ongoing adjustment to cancer and its consequences.

Exercise is a safe and effective intervention that improves the physical and emotional health and wellbeing of cancer patients. Exercise should be embedded as part of standard practice in cancer care and be viewed as an adjunct therapy that helps counteract the adverse effects of cancer and its treatment.

6.8.3 Communication with patients, carers and families

The lead clinician should ensure there is adequate discussion with patients and carers about the diagnosis and recommended treatment, including treatment intent and possible outcomes, likely adverse effects and the supportive care options available.



More information

Refer to Principle 6 ‘Communication’ for communication skills training programs and resources.

Step 7: End-of-life care

Step 7 is concerned with maintaining the patient's quality of life and meeting their health and supportive care needs as they approach the end of life, as well as the needs of their family and carers.

Some patients with advanced cancer will reach a time when active treatment is no longer appropriate. The team needs to share the principles of a palliative approach to care when making decisions with the patient and their family or carer. End-of-life care is appropriate when the patient's symptoms are increasing and functional status is declining.

7.1 Multidisciplinary palliative care

If the treatment team does not include a palliative care member, the lead clinician should consider referring the patient to palliative care services, with the general practitioner's engagement. This may include inpatient palliative unit access (as required).

The multidisciplinary team may consider seeking additional expertise from these professionals:

- clinical psychologist
- clinical nurse specialist or practitioner
- social worker
- palliative medicine specialist
- pain specialist
- pastoral or spiritual carer
- bereavement counsellor
- music therapist
- art therapist
- cultural expert
- Canteen for children of parents with cancer <www.canteen.org.au/young-people/my-parent-has-cancer>.

The team might also recommend that patients access these services:

- home- and community-based care
- specialist community palliative care workers
- community nursing.

If the patient does not already have an advance care directive in place, a designated member of the treatment team should encourage them to develop one in collaboration with their family or carer (Australian Government Department of Health 2021a).

It is essential for the treatment team to consider the appropriate place of care, the patient's preferred place of death and the support needed for the patient, their family and carers.

The treatment team should also ensure that carers and families receive the information, support and guidance about their role according to their needs and wishes (Palliative Care Australia 2018).



More information

The treatment team can refer patients and carers to these resources:

- Palliative Care Australia <www.palliativecare.org.au>
- Advance Care Planning Australia <www.advancecareplanning.org.au> or to Advance Care Planning Australia's National Advisory Service on 1300 208 582
- Care Search <www.caresearch.com.au/Caresearch/Default.aspx>.

7.2 Research and clinical trials

Clinical trials may help improve palliative care and in managing a patient's symptoms of advanced cancer (Cancer Council Victoria 2019). The treatment team should support the patient to participate in research and clinical trials where available and appropriate.

For more information visit:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinical-trials>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

7.3 Support and communication

7.3.1 Supportive care

See validated screening tools mentioned in Principle 4 'Supportive care'.

A number of specific challenges and needs may arise for patients at this time:

- assistance for dealing with emotional and psychological distress from anticipatory grief, fear of death or dying, anxiety/depression and interpersonal problems
- management of physical symptoms including fatigue, sleep changes and shortness of breath
- decline in mobility or functional status affecting the patient's discharge destination (a referral to physiotherapy, exercise physiology, occupational therapy or social work may be needed)
- appointing a substitute decision-maker and completing an advance care directive
- legal issues (completing a will, care of dependent children) and making an insurance, superannuation or social security claim on the basis of terminal illness or permanent disability
- specific support for families where a parent is dying and will leave behind bereaved children or adolescents, creating special family needs
- arranging a funeral.

These services and resources can help with:

- referral to 13 11 20 for Cancer Council Australia's Pro Bono Program for free legal, financial, small business accounting and workplace assistance (subject to a means test)
- *Sad news sorry business* (Queensland Health 2015) for the specific needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

For more information on supportive care and needs that may arise for different population groups, see Appendices A, B and C.

7.3.2 Communication with patients, carers and families

The lead clinician is responsible for:

- being open to and encouraging discussion with the patient about the expected disease course, considering the patient's personal and cultural beliefs and expectations
- discussing palliative care options, including inpatient and community-based services as well as dying at home and subsequent arrangements
- providing the patient and carer with the contact details of a palliative care service
- referring the patient to palliative care in the community according to the carer's wishes.

7.3.3 Communication with the general practitioner

The lead clinician should discuss end-of-life care planning to ensure the patient's needs and goals are met in the appropriate environment. The patient's general practitioner should be kept fully informed and involved in major developments in the patient's illness path.



More information

For support with communication skills and training programs, see these sources:

- *Sad news sorry business* <www.health.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0023/151736/sorry_business.pdf>
- Principle 6 'Communication'.

Contributors and reviewers

Our thanks to the following health professionals, consumer representatives, stakeholders and organisations consulted in developing this optimal care pathway.

Expert working group

Associate Professor Anoop Enjeti (Chair), Haematologist, NSW Health Pathology John Hunter Hospital, Senior Staff Haematologist, Calvary Mater Hospital, Conjoint Associate Professor University of Newcastle

Dr Sharon Avery, Haematologist, Cairns and Hinterland Hospital and Health Service

Jenni Bourke, Occupational Therapist, Blood Cancer Support Coordinator, Leukaemia Foundation

Professor David Curtis, Haematologist and Bone Marrow Transplant Physician, Alfred Health and Australian Centre For Blood Diseases, Monash University

Rebecca Dring, Clinical Nurse Consultant, Royal Melbourne Hospital and Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre

Dr Carolyn Ee, General Practitioner, Western Sydney University, Primary Care Collaborative Cancer Clinical Trials Group

Dr Sally Greenaway, Haematologist, Westmead Hospital

Dr Yasmin Harvey, Haematologist, Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology

Christine Heath, Consumer Representative

Dr Devendra Hiwase, Haematologist, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Associate Professor University of Adelaide

Associate Professor Melita Kenealy, Haematologist, Cabrini Hospital and Adjunct Associate Professor (Clinical), Monash University

Julie Tovey, Blood Cancer Support Coordinator, Registered Nurse, Leukaemia Foundation

Dr Nienke Zomerdiik, Research Fellow Psycho-Oncology, University of Melbourne and Victorian Comprehensive Cancer Centre Alliance

Critical review panel

Dr Duncan Purtill, Haematologist and Bone Marrow Transplant Physician Consultant, Fiona Stanley Hospital and Pathwest Laboratory Medicine

Dr Robin Gasiorowski, Haematologist, Concord Hospital, Macquarie University Hospital, University of Sydney, Macquarie University

Professor Paula Marlton, Haematologist, Princess Alexandra Hospital

Professor John Pimanda, Haematologist, Prince of Wales Hospital and Lowy Cancer Research Centre, UNSW Sydney

Professor David Ritchie, Haematologist, Bone Marrow Transplant Specialist, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and Royal Melbourne Hospital

Associate Professor William Stevenson, Haematologist, Royal North Shore Hospital

Medical colleges, peak organisations and government representatives

Australian Capital Territory Health

Allied Health Professions Australia

Australian and New Zealand Children's Haematology/Oncology Group

Australia and New Zealand Transplant and Cellular Therapies

Australasian Association of Nuclear Medicine Specialists

Australasian College of Emergency Medicine

Australasian Leukaemia & Lymphoma Group

Australian and New Zealand Society of Palliative Care

Australian College of Nursing

Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine

Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing

Australian Medical Association
 Beyond Blue
 Cancer Australia
 Cancer Council of Australia
 Cancer Council Victoria
 Cancer Institute NSW
 Cancer Nurses Society of Australia
 Canteen
 Clinical Oncology Society of Australia
 Haematology Society of Australia & New Zealand
 Launceston General Hospital
 Leukaemia Foundation
 Lymphoma Australia
 Medical Oncology Group of Australia
 Myeloma Australia
 Northern Territory Department of Health
 Palliative Care Australia
 Private Cancer Physicians of Australia
 Queensland Health
 Rare Cancers Australia
 Redkite
 Royal Australasian College of Physicians
 Royal Australian and New Zealand College
 of Radiologists
 Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia
 South Australia Health
 Tasmanian Department of Health and Human
 Services
 The Royal Australian College of General
 Practitioners
 Victorian Department of Health
 Western Australia Cancer and Palliative
 Care Network

Optimal Care Pathways Steering Committee

Associate Professor Peter Mollee (Chair),
 Haematologist Princess Alexandra Hospital,
 Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group,
 Associate Professor, University of Queensland

Dr Caroline M Bateman, Paediatric
 Haematologist and Oncologist, Cancer Centre for
 Children, The Children's Hospital at Westmead

Julia Brancato, Optimal Care Pathways Project
 Coordinator, Cancer Council Victoria

Dr Peter Diamond, Project Secretariat,
 Leukaemia Foundation

Associate Professor Michael Dickinson,
 Haematologist, Lymphoma Australia,
 Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal
 Melbourne Hospital, University of Melbourne

Associate Professor Anoop Enjeti, Haematologist,
 NSW Health Pathology – John Hunter Hospital,
 Senior Staff Haematologist, Calvary Mater
 Hospital, Conjoint Associate Professor University
 of Newcastle

Emily Forrest, Project Secretariat,
 Leukaemia Foundation

Fiona Haigh, Project Secretariat,
 Leukaemia Foundation

Deborah Henderson, Consumer Representative

Professor Tim Hughes, Haematologist,
 South Australian Health and Medical Research
 Institute (SAHMRI), University of Adelaide,
 Royal Adelaide Hospital

Professor Steven Lane, Clinical Haematologist,
 Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, QIMR
 Berghofer Medical Research Institute, University
 of Queensland

Caroline Nehill, Director National Cancer Control,
 Cancer Australia

Professor H. Miles Prince AM, Clinical
 Haematologist, Epworth Healthcare and
 Haematologist Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre

Professor Hang Quach, Haematologist, University of Melbourne, St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne

Catriona Rafael, Project Secretariat, Leukaemia Foundation

Professor Andrew Roberts AM, Haematologist and Bone Marrow Transplant Physician, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, University of Melbourne, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute

Professor John Seymour AM, Clinical Haematologist, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne Hospital, University of Melbourne

Delaine Smith, Chief Executive Officer, Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group

Professor Judith Trotman, Haematologist, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, University of Sydney

Megan Varlow, Director Cancer Control Policy, Cancer Council Australia

Dr Nicole Wong Doo, Clinical and Laboratory Haematologist, Concord Repatriation and General Hospital, University of Sydney

Our thanks also to the Blood Cancer Taskforce, which recommended the development of optimal care pathways for all the major blood cancer subtypes as part of the *National Strategic Action Plan for Blood Cancer (2020)*. The National Action Plan was commissioned by the Federal Government and developed by the Blood Cancer Taskforce, with support from the Leukaemia Foundation.


Appendix A: Supportive care domains

Supportive care in cancer refers to the following five domains:

- the physical domain, which includes a wide range of physical symptoms that may be acute, relatively short lived or ongoing, requiring continuing interventions or rehabilitation
- the psychological domain, which includes a range of issues related to the patient’s mental health wellbeing and personal relationships
- the social domain, which includes a range of social and practical issues that will affect the patient, carer and family such as the need for emotional support, maintaining social networks and financial concerns
- the information domain, which includes access to information about cancer and its treatment, recovery and survivorship support services and the health system overall
- the spiritual domain, which focuses on the patient’s changing sense of self and challenges to their underlying beliefs and existential concerns (Palliative Care Victoria 2019).

Fitch’s (2000) model of supportive care recognises the variety and level of intervention required at each critical point as well as the need to be specific to the individual patient (Figure A1). The model targets the type and level of intervention required to meet patients’ supportive care needs.

Figure A1: Fitch’s tiered approach to supportive care



Appendix B: Psychological needs

Consider a referral to a psychologist, psychiatrist, pastoral/spiritual care practitioner, social worker, specialist nurse or a relevant community-based program if the patient has these issues:

- displaying emotional cues such as tearfulness, distress that requires specialist intervention, avoidance or withdrawal
- being preoccupied with or dwelling on thoughts about cancer and death
- displaying fears about the treatment process or the changed goals of their treatment
- displaying excessive fears about cancer progression or recurrence
- worrying about loss associated with their daily function, dependence on others and loss of dignity
- becoming isolated from family and friends and withdrawing from company and activities that they previously enjoyed
- feeling hopeless and helpless about the effect that cancer is having on their life and the disruption to their life plans
- struggling to communicate with family and loved ones about the implications of their cancer diagnosis and treatment
- experiencing changes in sexual intimacy, libido and function
- struggling with the diagnosis of advanced disease
- having difficulties quitting smoking (refer to Quitline on 13 78 48) or with other drug and alcohol use
- having difficulties transitioning to palliative care.

Additional considerations that may arise for the multidisciplinary team include:

- support for the carer – encourage referrals to psychosocial support from a social worker, psychologist or general practitioner
- referral to an exercise physiologist or physiotherapist as a therapeutic approach to prevent and manage psychological health
- referral to wellness-after-cancer programs to provide support, information and offer strategies.

Appendix C: Special population groups

The burden of cancer is not evenly spread across Australia. People experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, culturally diverse communities, people living with a disability, people with chronic mental health or psychiatric concerns and those who live in regional and rural areas of Australia have poorer cancer outcomes.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people

Cancer is the third leading cause of burden of disease for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. While Australia's cancer survival rates are among the best in the world, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people continue to experience a different pattern of cancer incidence and significant disparities in cancer outcomes compared with non-Indigenous Australians.

For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, health and connection to land, culture, community and identity are intrinsically linked. Health encompasses a whole-of-life view and includes a cyclical concept of life–death–life.

The distinct epidemiology of cancer among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and unique connection to culture, highlight the need for a specific optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer. Ensuring this pathway is culturally safe and supportive is vital to tackling the disparities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

Published in 2018, the *Optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer* provides guidance to health practitioners and service planners on optimal care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer across the cancer continuum.

In addition to the key principles underpinning tumour-specific pathways, these are the key concepts that are fundamental to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health:

- providing a holistic approach to health and wellbeing
- providing a culturally appropriate and culturally safe service
- acknowledging the diversity of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples
- understanding the social determinants and cultural determinants of health (Cancer Australia 2015).

To view the Optimal care pathway for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with cancer, visit the Cancer Australia website <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/affected-cancer/atsi/resources-health>>. To view the consumer resources – *Checking for cancer* and *Cancer*, visit the Cancer Australia website <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/affected-cancer/atsi/resources-people>>.

Culturally diverse communities

For people from culturally diverse backgrounds in Australia, a cancer diagnosis can come with additional complexities, particularly when English proficiency is poor. In many languages there is not a direct translation of the word 'cancer', which can make communicating vital information difficult. Perceptions of cancer and related issues can differ greatly in people from culturally diverse backgrounds and this can affect their understanding and decision making after a cancer diagnosis. In addition to different cultural beliefs, when English language is limited there is potential for miscommunication of important information and advice, which can lead to increased stress and anxiety for patients.

A professionally trained interpreter (not a family member or friend) should be made available when communicating with people with limited English proficiency. Navigation of the Australian healthcare system can pose problems for those with a non-Anglo culture, and members of the treatment teams should pay particular attention to supporting these patients.

The Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre has developed a glossary of more than 700 cancer terms in nine different languages. The multilingual glossary has been designed as a resource for professional translators, interpreters and bilingual health professionals working in the cancer field. The glossary is a unique tool that enables language professionals with access to accurate, consistent and culturally appropriate terminology.

Visit the Peter Mac website <www.petermac.org/multilingualglossary> to see the glossary.

People with disabilities

Disability, which can be physical, intellectual or psychological, may have existed before the cancer diagnosis or may be new in onset (occurring due to the cancer treatment or incidentally). Adjusting to life with a disability adds another challenge to cancer care and survivorship.

Several barriers prevent people with disabilities from accessing timely and effective health care (AIHW 2017):

- physical limitations
- competing health needs
- the trauma of undergoing invasive procedures
- potential barriers associated with obtaining informed consent
- failure to provide assistance with communication
- lack of information
- discriminatory attitudes among healthcare staff.

In caring for people with disabilities and a cancer diagnosis, the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare disability flag should be used at the point of admittance to correctly identify and meet the additional requirements of a person with disability. Facilities should actively consider access requirements, and health practitioners should make reasonable adjustments where required.

Patients aged between seven and 65 years who have a permanent or significant disability may be eligible for support or funding through the National Disability Insurance Scheme (National Disability Insurance Agency 2018). More information can be found on the NDIS website <www.ndis.gov.au>. Patients aged 65 years or older (50 years or older for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander people) may be eligible for subsidised support and services through aged care services. An application to determine eligibility can be completed online over the phone. More information can be found at the My Aged Care website <www.myagedcare.gov.au>.



More information

'Talking End of Life' is a resource that shows how to teach people with intellectual disability about end of life. It is designed for disability support workers but is also helpful for others including families, health professionals and educators.

To view the resource, visit the Talking End of Life website <www.caresearch.com.au/tel/tabid/4881/Default.aspx>.

Older people with cancer

Planning and delivering appropriate cancer care for older people can present a number of challenges. This could also be true for frail people or those experiencing comorbidities. Effective communication between oncology and geriatrics departments will help facilitate best practice care, which takes into account physiological age, complex comorbidities, risk of adverse events and drug interactions, as well as the implications of cognitive impairment on suitability of treatment and consent (Steer et al. 2009).

At a national interdisciplinary workshop convened by the Clinical Oncology Society of Australia, it was recommended that people over the age of 70 undergo some form of geriatric assessment, in line with international guidelines (COSA 2013; palliAGED 2018). Screening tools can be used to identify those patients in need of a comprehensive geriatric assessment (Decoster et al. 2015). This assessment can be used to help determine life expectancy and treatment tolerance and guide appropriate referral for multidisciplinary intervention that may improve outcomes (Wildiers et al. 2014).

Frailty is not captured through traditional measures of performance status (e.g. ECOG) and includes assessment in the domains of:

- function
- comorbidity
- presence of geriatric syndromes
- nutrition
- polypharmacy
- cognition
- emotional status
- social supports.

Adolescents and young adults

In recent years, adolescent and young adult oncology has emerged as a distinct field due to lack of progress in survival and quality-of-life outcomes (Ferrari et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2013). The significant developmental change that occurs during this life stage complicates a diagnosis of cancer, often leading to unique physical, social and emotional effects for young people at the time of diagnosis and throughout the cancer journey (Smith et al. 2012).

In caring for young people with cancer, akin to the comorbidities that require specific care in the older cancer population, the treatment team needs to pay careful attention to promoting normal development (COSA 2014). This requires personalised assessments and management involving a multidisciplinary, disease-specific, developmentally targeted approach that adheres to the following principles:

- understanding the developmental stages of adolescence and supporting normal adolescent health and development alongside cancer management
- understanding and supporting the rights of young people
- communication skills and information delivery that are appropriate to the young person
- meeting the needs of all involved, including the young person, their carers and their family
- working with educational institutions and workplaces
- considering survivorship and palliative care needs.

An oncology team caring for an adolescent or young adult with cancer should be able to demonstrate these specific areas of expertise:

- be able to ensure access to expert adolescent and young adult health providers who have knowledge specific to the biomedical and psychosocial needs of the population
- understand the biology and current management of the disease in the adolescent and young adult age group
- consider participating in research and clinical trials for each patient
- engage in proactive discussion and management of fertility preservation, late effects of treatment, ongoing need for contraception, and psychosocial and psychosexual needs
- provide treatment in an environment that is friendly to adolescents and young adults.

People experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage

In general, people from lower socioeconomic groups are at greater risk of poor health, have higher rates of illness, disability and death, and live shorter lives than those from higher socioeconomic groups (AIHW 2016). People experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage are less likely to participate in screening programs, more likely to be obese, less likely to exercise and much more likely to smoke, which are all risk factors for cancer. In 2010–2014 age-standardised cancer incidence rates were higher in the lowest socioeconomic areas compared with the highest socioeconomic areas for all cancers combined (Cancer Australia 2019b).

Socioeconomic status and low health literacy are closely correlated. Therefore, effective communication with patients and carers is particularly important given the prevalence of low health literacy in Australia (estimated at 60 per cent of Australian adults) (ACSQHC 2014).

Consideration should be taken for cancer patients experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage to reduce their risk of being underserved for health care.

People with chronic mental health or psychiatric concerns

A diagnosis of cancer may present additional challenges to people who have pre-existing chronic mental health or psychiatric concerns, resulting in exacerbation of their mental health symptoms. This may include heightened anxiety, worsening depression or thoughts of self-harm.

As poor adjustment and coping can affect treatment decisions, people who are known to have a mental health diagnosis need psychosocial assessment in the oncology setting to formulate a plan for ongoing support throughout treatment.

Psychosocial support can assist with challenges in communicating with health professionals, enhance understanding of the treatment journey, ensure capacity for consent to treatment options and improve compliance with treatment requests. A referral for psychosocial support from a health professional to the psycho-oncology team can ensure these patients are provided with targeted interventions or referrals to community-based services that may mitigate problems associated with the impacts of social isolation that frequently accompany chronic mental ill-health.

Many patients with chronic mental health problems may be well known to external service providers. Psycho-oncology health professionals can form meaningful partnerships with existing service providers to optimise patient care throughout treatment and beyond.

Drug use disorders fall within the area of mental health conditions. People who are opiate dependent may have specific and individual requirements regarding pain management and their own preference for type of opiate prescribed or used.

Sexually and gender diverse groups

People who identify as sexually or gender diverse may have unique needs following a cancer diagnosis. Sexually or gender diverse identities include (but are not limited to) people who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual or transgender. There is no universally agreed upon initialism to describe this community, with other terms such as queer/questioning (Q), intersex (I), asexual (A) and pansexual (P) often included, as well as a plus symbol (+) indicating inclusivity of other identities not explicitly mentioned. For the purposes of this document, this community is referred to as LGBTQI+.

Sexual orientation and gender identity are relevant across the entire spectrum of cancer care, from prevention to survivorship and end-of-life care. LGBTQI+ people are less likely to participate in cancer screening, and some segments of the LGBTQI+ community exhibit elevated rates of specific cancer risk factors – for example, higher rates of smoking and alcohol use. Regarding treatment, there may be unique factors relevant to LGBTQI+ people that may affect decision making. Additionally, the LGBTQI+ population experiences higher rates of anxiety, depression and stressful life circumstances, and may be at risk of inferior psychosocial outcomes following a cancer diagnosis. LGBTQI+ people are also more likely to be estranged from their families of origin, and for older people, less likely to have adult children who may provide support and care.

Barriers to care for LGBTQI+ people include past negative interactions with healthcare systems, experiences or fear of discrimination and harassment in healthcare settings, assumptions of cisgender/heterosexual identity, lack of recognition or exclusion of same-sex partners from care, and a lack of relevant supportive care and information resources.

To provide safe and appropriate care for LGBTQI+ people with cancer, healthcare providers should:

- display environmental cues to show an inclusive and safe setting for LGBTQI+ patients
- avoid assumptions about the sexual orientation or gender identity of patients and their partners
- facilitate positive disclosure of sexual orientation or gender identity
- include same-sex/gender partners and families of choice in care
- be aware of relevant supportive care and information resources
- provide non-judgemental, patient-centred care.

Appendix D: Complementary therapies

Complementary therapies may be used together with conventional medical treatments to support and enhance quality of life and wellbeing. They do not aim to cure the patient's cancer. Instead, they are used to help control symptoms such as pain and fatigue (Cancer Council Australia 2019).

The lead clinician or health professional involved in the patient's care should discuss the patient's use (or intended use) of complementary therapies not prescribed by the multidisciplinary team to assess safety and efficacy and to identify any potential toxicity or drug interactions.

The lead clinician should seek a comprehensive list of all complementary and alternative medicines being taken and explore the patient's reason for using these therapies and the evidence base. A transparent and honest discussion that is free from judgement should be encouraged.

While some complementary therapies are supported by strong evidence, others are not. For such therapies, the lead clinician should discuss their potential benefits and use them alongside conventional therapies (NHMRC 2014).

If the patient expresses an interest in using complementary therapies, the lead clinician should consider referring patients to health providers within the multidisciplinary team who have expertise in the field of complementary and alternative therapies (e.g. a clinical pharmacist, dietitian or psychologist) to assist them to reach an informed decision. Costs of such approaches should be part of the discussion with the patient and considered in the context of evidence of benefit.

The lead clinician should assure patients who use complementary therapies that they can still access a multidisciplinary team review and encourage full disclosure about therapies being used.



More information

- See Cancer Australia's position statement on complementary and alternative therapies <www.canceraustralia.gov.au/publications-and-resources/position-statements/complementary-and-alternative-therapies>.
- See the Clinical Oncological Society of Australia's position statement *Use of complementary and alternative medicine by cancer patients* <www.cosa.org.au/publications/position-statements.aspx>.

Appendix E: Members of the multidisciplinary team for MDS

Not all patients with MDS require ongoing input from a multidisciplinary team. Where required, the multidisciplinary team may include the following members:

- care coordinator (as determined by multidisciplinary team members)*
- haematologist*
- infectious diseases physician*
- nurse (with appropriate expertise or under the supervision of nurses with appropriate expertise)*
- pathologist including pathologist with molecular genetic expertise*
- pharmacist*
- Aboriginal health practitioner, Indigenous liaison officer or remote general practitioner
- fertility specialist
- spiritual/pastoral care
- clinical trials coordinator
- dentist
- dietitian
- exercise physiologist
- general practitioner
- genetic counsellor
- geriatrician
- nuclear medicine physician
- occupational therapist
- palliative care specialist
- physiotherapist
- psychiatrist
- psychologist
- radiation oncologist
- radiologist/imaging specialists
- social worker
- spiritual/pastoral care.

* Denotes core members. Core members of the multidisciplinary team are expected to attend most multidisciplinary team meetings either in person or remotely.

Resource list

For patients, families and carers

Advance Care Planning Australia

Advance Care Planning Australia provides national advance care planning resources for individuals, families, health professionals and service providers. Resources include a national advisory service, information resources, a legal forms hub and education modules.

- Telephone: 1300 208 582
- Website <www.advancecareplanning.org.au>

Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre

The Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre has developed information resources and events to help people move from initial treatment to post treatment and beyond, including those receiving maintenance treatments. While they do not provide clinical advice, they connect with a range of providers to enable improved care.

- Telephone: (03) 8559 6220
- Website <www.petermac.org/cancersurvivorship>

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care has developed a resource for patients and carers explaining the coordination of care that patients should receive from their health service during cancer treatment. The resource is called *What to expect when receiving medication for cancer care* <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/what-expect-when-receiving-medication-cancer-care>>.

Beyond Blue

Beyond Blue provides information about depression, anxiety and related disorders, as well as about available treatment and support services.

- Telephone: 1300 22 4636
- Website <www.beyondblue.org.au>

Cancer Australia

Cancer Australia provides information for consumers, carers and their families including printed resources and video content.

- Website <www.canceraustralia.gov.au>

Cancer Council's Cancer Information and Support Service

Cancer Council 13 11 20 is a confidential telephone support service available to anyone affected by cancer. This service acts as a gateway to evidence-based documented, practical and emotional support available through Cancer Council services and other community organisations. Calls will be answered by a nurse or other oncology professional who can provide information relevant to a patient's or carer's situation. Health professionals can also access this service.

- Telephone: 13 11 20 – Monday to Friday, 9.00am to 5.00pm (some states have extended hours)
- Website <www.cancer.org.au/about-us/state-and-territory-councils>

Cancer Council's Cancer Connect

Cancer Connect is a free and confidential telephone peer support service that connects someone who has cancer with a specially trained volunteer who has had a similar cancer experience.

A Connect volunteer can listen with understanding and share their experiences and ways of coping. They can provide practical information, emotional support and hope. Many people newly diagnosed with cancer find this one-to-one support very beneficial.

For more information on Cancer Connect call Cancer Council 13 11 20.

Canteen

Canteen helps adolescents, young adults and parents to cope with cancer in their family. Canteen offers individual support services, peer support services and a youth cancer service, as well as books, resources and useful links.

- Telephone: 1800 835 932 to talk to a health professional about information and support for young people or 1800 226 833 for other enquiries
- Website <www.canteen.org.au>

Clinical trial information

Check clinical trial information in resource list: For a collection of clinical trials available in Australia and internationally see the following information:

- Cancer Australia <www.australiancancertrials.gov.au>
- Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry <www.anzctr.org.au>
- Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group <<https://www.allg.org.au/clinical-trials-research/current-clinicaltrials>>
- ClinTrial Refer <www.clintrialrefer.org.au>
- ClinicalTrials.gov <www.clinicaltrials.gov> for an international view.

CanEAT pathway

A guide to optimal cancer nutrition for people with cancer, carers and health professionals.

- Education website <<https://education.eviq.org.au/courses/supportive-care/malnutrition-in-cancer>>
- Patient website <<https://patients.cancer.nsw.gov.au/coping-with-cancer/physical-wellbeing/eating-well>>

Guides to best cancer care

These short guides help patients understand the optimal cancer care that should be provided at each step and can be used to complement the optimal care pathways. Carers, family and friends may also find them helpful.

The *Guides to best cancer care* are located on an interactive web portal, with downloadable PDFs in plain English and translations in multiple languages.

- Website <www.cancercareguides.org.au>

Look Good, Feel Better

A free national community service program, run by the Cancer Patients Foundation, dedicated to teaching cancer patients how to manage the appearance-related side effects caused by treatment for any type of cancer.

- Telephone: 1800 650 960
- Website <<https://lgfb.org.au>>

Leukaemia Foundation

The Leukaemia Foundation provides specialist support, funds leading-edge research and advocates for Australians diagnosed with blood cancer. The foundation guides patients and their loved ones through the emotional, physical and psychosocial challenges of a blood cancer diagnosis, treatment and survivorship.

The foundation's team of qualified health professionals can answer questions, talk through concerns and connect patients to blood cancer support groups. The team can also help with practical concerns such as accommodation close to treatment, transport to appointments and financial assistance.

- Telephone: 1800 620 420
- Website <www.leukaemia.org.au>

MDS Foundation

The MDS Foundation is a global non-profit advocacy organisation that for more than 25 years has supported patients and their families as well as healthcare providers in the fields of MDS and its related diseases. The foundation supports and educates patients, their communities and healthcare providers, and contributes to innovative research in the fields of MDS and its related continuum of diseases to better diagnose, control and ultimately cure these diseases.

- Website <<https://www.mds-foundation.org>>
- Email <patientliaison@mds-foundation.org>

Quitline

Quitline is a confidential, evidence-based telephone counselling service. Highly trained Quitline counsellors use behaviour change techniques and motivational interviewing over multiple calls to help people plan, make and sustain a quit attempt.

Quitline is a culturally inclusive service for all, and Aboriginal counsellors are also available. Health professionals can refer patients to Quitline online.

- Telephone: 13 7848
- Website <www.quit.org.au> or the relevant website in your state or territory

For health providers

Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre

The Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre provides expertise in survivorship care, information, support and education. Its purpose is to support and enable optimal survivorship care.

- Telephone: (03) 8559 6220
- Website <www.petermac.org/cancersurvivorship>

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care has developed a guide for clinicians containing evidence-based strategies to support clinicians to understand and fulfil their responsibilities to cancer patients. This guide is particularly relevant to Steps 3 to 6 of the optimal care pathway. The guide is titled *NSQHS Standards user guide for medication management in cancer care for clinicians* <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/nsqhs-standards-user-guide-medication-management-cancer-care-clinicians>>.

Cancer Australia

Information for health providers including guidelines, cancer learnings, cancer guides, reports, resources, videos, posters and pamphlets.

- Website <www.canceraustralia.gov.au>

Cancer Council Australia

Information on prevention, research, treatment and support provided by Australia's peak independent cancer authority.

- Website <www.cancer.org.au>

CanEAT pathway

A guide to optimal cancer nutrition for people with cancer, carers and health professionals.

- Education website <<https://education.eviq.org.au/courses/supportive-care/malnutrition-in-cancer>>
- Patient website <<https://patients.cancer.nsw.gov.au/coping-with-cancer/physical-wellbeing/eating-well>>.

eviQ

A clinical information resource providing health professionals with current evidence-based, peer-maintained, best practice cancer treatment protocols and information relevant to the Australian clinical environment.

- Website <www.eviq.org.au>

National Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation

The National Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation (NACCHO) is the national leadership body for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health in Australia. NACCHO provides advice and guidance to the Australian Government on policy and budget matters and advocates for community-developed solutions that contribute to the quality of life and improved health outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

- Website <www.naccho.org.au/about>

National Health and Medical Research Council

Information on clinical practice guidelines, cancer prevention and treatment.

- Website <www.nhmrc.gov.au>

Glossary

advance care directive – voluntary person-led document that focus on an individual's values and preferences for future health and medical treatment decisions, preferred outcomes and care. They are completed and signed by a competent person. They are recognised by specific legislation (statutory) or common law (non-statutory). Advance care directives can also appoint the substitute decision-maker(s) who can make decisions about health or personal care on the individual's behalf if they are no longer able to make decisions themselves. Advance care directives focus on the future health care of a person, not on the management of his or her assets. They come into effect when an individual loses decision-making capacity.

advance care planning – the process of planning for future health and personal care, where the person's values, beliefs and preferences are made known so they can guide decision making at a future time when that person cannot make or communicate their decisions.

alternative therapies – treatments used in place of conventional medical treatment.

care coordinator – the health provider nominated by the multidisciplinary team to coordinate patient care. The care coordinator may change over time depending on the patient's stage in the care pathway and the location and care in which care is being delivered.

complementary therapies – supportive treatment used in conjunction with conventional medical treatment. These treatments may improve wellbeing and quality of life and help people deal with the side effects of cancer.

end-of-life care – includes physical, spiritual and psychosocial assessment, and care and treatment, delivered by health professionals and ancillary staff. It also includes support of families and carers and care of the patient's body after their death.

genomics – the study of multiple genes and their relationships with one another.

immunotherapy – a type of cancer treatment that helps the body's immune system to fight cancer. Immunotherapy can boost the immune system to work better against cancer or remove barriers to the immune system attacking the cancer.

indicator – a documentable or measurable piece of information regarding a recommendation in the optimal care pathway.

informed financial consent – the provision of cost information to patients, including notification of likely out-of-pocket expenses (gaps), by all relevant service providers, preferably in writing, before admission to hospital or treatment (Australian Government Department of Health 2017).

lead clinician – the clinician who is nominated as being responsible for individual patient care. The lead clinician may change over time depending on the stage of the care pathway and where care is being provided.

minimal residual disease (MRD) – a small number of cancer cells left in the body after treatment.

multidisciplinary care – an integrated team approach to health care in which medical and allied health providers consider all relevant treatment options and collaboratively develop an individual treatment plan for each patient.

multidisciplinary team – comprises the core disciplines that are integral to providing good care. The team is flexible in approach, reflects the patient's clinical and psychosocial needs and has processes to facilitate good communication.

multidisciplinary team meeting – a meeting of health professionals from one or more clinical disciplines who together make decisions about recommended treatment of patients.

optimal care pathway – the key principles and practices required at each stage of the care pathway to guide the delivery of consistent, safe, high-quality and evidence-based care for all people affected by cancer.

performance status – an objective measure of how well a patient can carry out activities of daily life.

primary care health professional – in most cases this is a general practitioner but may also include general practice nurses, community nurses, nurse practitioners, allied health professionals, midwives, pharmacists, dentists and Aboriginal health workers.

prognostic assessment – evaluation of clinical features (e.g. pathological, biochemical, molecular, genetic, simple clinical measurements) to predict a patient's likelihood of responding to treatment, developing disease or experiencing a medical event.

relative survival rate – the probability of being alive for a given amount of time after diagnosis compared with the general population.

risk stratification – a systematic process to target and identify select patients who are at risk of poorer health outcomes, and who are expected to benefit most from a particular intervention or interventions.

spiritual care – the aspect of humanity that refers to the way individuals seek and express meaning and purpose and the way they experience their connectedness to the moment, to self, to others, to nature, and to the significant or sacred.

substitute decision-maker – a person permitted under the law to make decisions on behalf of someone who does not have competence or capacity.

supportive care – care and support that aims to improve the quality of life of people living with cancer, cancer survivors and their family and carers and particular forms of care that supplement clinical treatment modalities.

survivorship – an individual is considered a cancer survivor from the time of diagnosis, and throughout their life; the term includes individuals receiving initial or maintenance treatment, in recovery or in the post-treatment phase.

survivorship care plan – a formal, written document that provides details of a person's cancer diagnosis and treatment, potential late and long-term effects arising from the cancer and its treatment, recommended follow-up, surveillance, and strategies to remain well.

targeted therapy – a medicine that blocks the growth and spread of cancer by interfering with specific molecules.

References

- Aplastic Anemia & MDS International Foundation n.d., 'Treating higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes', viewed 27 August 2021, <<https://www.aamds.org/interview/treating-higher-risk-myelodysplastic-syndromes>>.
- Australian Adult Cancer Pain Management Guideline Working Party 2019, 'Australian adult cancer pain management guideline: 'Cancer pain management in adults'', Cancer Council Australia, Sydney, viewed 6 June 2019, <<https://wiki.cancer.org.au/australiawiki/index.php?oldid=191646>>.
- American Cancer Society 2018, 'General approach to treatment of myelodysplastic syndromes', viewed 27 August 2021, <<https://www.cancer.org/cancer/myelodysplastic-syndrome/treating/general-approach.html>>.
- Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre 2010, 'Survivorship care planning', viewed 27 November 2013, <<http://122.102.106.143/cancersurvivorship/Home>>.
- Australian Cancer Survivorship Centre 2019, 'Community support organisations' cancer survivorship care consensus statement', viewed 10 February 2020, <https://www.petermac.org/sites/default/files/media-uploads/NGO_ConsensusStatement.pdf>.
- Australian Clinical Trials 2015, 'Potential benefits and risks', National Health and Medical Research Council, Department of Industry, Innovation and Science, Australian Government, Canberra, viewed 24 July 2019, <<https://www.australianclinicaltrials.gov.au/why-be-part-clinical-trial/potential-benefits-and-potential-risks>>.
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2014, 'Health literacy: taking action to improve safety and quality', ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 18 February 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/sites/default/files/migrated/Health-Literacy-Taking-action-to-improve-safety-and-quality.pdf>>.
- Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2015, 'Credentialing health practitioners and defining their scope of clinical practice: a guide for managers and practitioners', ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 18 February 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/credentialing-health-practitioners-and-defining-their-scope-clinical-practice-guide-managers-and-practitioners>>.
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2017, 'National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards guide for hospitals', ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 18 February 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/National-Safety-and-Quality-Health-Service-Standards-Guide-for-Hospitals.pdf>>.
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2019a, *Person-centred care*, ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 15 May 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/partnering-consumers/person-centred-care>>.
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2019b, 'Australian Hospital Patient Experience Question Set', ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 25 March 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/indicators-measurement-and-reporting/australian-hospital-patient-experience-question-set>>.
- Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care (ACSQHC) 2020, 'NSQHS Standards user guide for medication management in cancer care', ACSQHC, Sydney, viewed 16 April 2020, <<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/nsqhs-standards-user-guide-medication-management-cancer-care>>.
- Australian Government Department of Health 2017, 'Out-of-pocket expenses for private medical treatment (informed financial consent)', Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Australian Government Department of Health 2021a, *National framework for advance care planning documents*, viewed 1 September 2021, <<https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2021/06/national-framework-for-advance-care-planning-documents.pdf>>.

Australian Government Department of Health 2021b, *The National Teletrials Compendium*, viewed 6 September 2021, <https://www.health.gov.au/resources/collections/the-national-teletrials-compendium?mc_cid=16bc8b07a7&mc_eid=51c5ef2b1e>.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2016, 'Australia's health 2016', Australia's health series no. 15. Cat. no. AUS 199, AIHW, Canberra.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2017, 'Access to health services by Australians with disability', viewed 20 February 2020, <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/disability/access-health-services-disability/contents/content>>.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2018, 'Australia's health 2018', Australia's health series no. 16. Cat. no. AUS 221, AIHW, Canberra.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2021, *Cancer data in Australia, Cancer summary data visualisation*, viewed 17 August 2021, <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/cancer/cancer-data-in-australia/contents/cancer-summary-data-visualisation>>.

AYA Cancer Fertility Preservation Guidance Working Group 2014, 'Fertility preservation for AYAs diagnosed with cancer: guidance for health professionals', Cancer Council Australia, Sydney, viewed 20 July 2020, <https://wiki.cancer.org.au/australia/COSA:AYA_cancer_fertility_preservation/Introduction>.

Bewersdorf JP, Carraway H, Prebet T 2020, 'Emerging treatment options for patients with high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome', *Therapeutic Advances in Hematology*, eCollection, 11 Nov, doi: 10.1177/2040620720955006.

Cancer Australia 2015, 'National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cancer framework', Cancer Australia, Surry Hills, viewed 24 August 2017, <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/publications-and-resources/cancer-australia-publications/national-aboriginal-and-torres-strait-islander-cancer-framework>>.

Cancer Australia 2017, 'Principles of cancer survivorship', Australian Government, Sydney, viewed 18 February 2020, <https://canceraustralia.gov.au/sites/default/files/publications/principles-cancer-survivorship/pdf/pocs_-_principles_of_cancer_survivorship.pdf>.

Cancer Australia 2019a, 'Principles of multidisciplinary care', Australian Government, Sydney, viewed 18 July 2019, <https://canceraustralia.gov.au/system/tdf/guidelines/all_about_multidisciplinary_care.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=3551>.

Cancer Australia 2019b, 'Cancer incidence', viewed 18 February 2020, <<https://ncci.canceraustralia.gov.au/diagnosis/cancer-incidence/cancer-incidence>>.

Cancer Australia 2020, 'Cancer care in the time of COVID-19: a conceptual framework for the management of cancer during a pandemic', Australian Government, Sydney, viewed 3 September 2020, <<https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/publications-and-resources/cancer-australia-publications/cancer-care-time-covid-19-conceptual-framework-management-cancer-during-pandemic>>.

Cancer Council Australia 2018, 'Prevention', viewed 18 July 2019, Cancer Council Australia, Sydney, <<https://www.cancer.org.au/preventing-cancer>>.

- Cancer Council Australia 2019, 'Complementary and alternative therapies', Cancer Council Australia, Sydney, viewed 22 July 2019, <<https://www.cancer.org.au/about-cancer/treatment/complementary-therapies-and-cancer.html>>.
- Cancer Council Victoria 2019, 'Palliative care', Cancer Council Victoria, viewed 7 October 2019, <https://www.cancervic.org.au/cancer-information/treatments/treatments-types/palliative_care/palliative-care-treatment.html>.
- Cancer Council Victoria 2021, 'Optimal care pathway for people with acute myeloid leukaemia', viewed 28 August 2021, <<https://www.cancervic.org.au/downloads/health-professionals/ocp/CC%20OCP%20AML%202021.pdf>>.
- Cancer Research in Primary Care 2016, 'Principles statement: Shared care', PC4 Shared Care Working Group, Melbourne, viewed 4 October 2019, <<http://pc4tg.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/PC4-Principles-Statement-shared-care-2016-1.pdf>>.
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) 2013, Special Issue: COSA's 40th Annual Scientific Meeting, Cancer Care Coming of Age, 12–14 November 2013, Adelaide Convention Centre, *Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 61–98.
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) 2014, 'Psychosocial management of AYAs diagnosed with cancer: guidance for health professionals', COSA, Sydney, viewed 7 October 2019, <http://wiki.cancer.org.au/australia/COSA:Psychosocial_management_of_AYA_cancer_patients>.
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) 2015, 'Cancer care coordinator: position statement', COSA, Sydney, viewed 22 July 2019, <https://www.cosa.org.au/media/332296/cancer-care-coordinator-position-statement_final-endorsed-by-council_161115_cnsa-logo.pdf>.
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) 2016, 'Australasian tele-trial model: access to clinical trials closer to home using tele-health a national guide for implementation', v1.7, COSA, Sydney, viewed 18 July 2019, <<https://www.cosa.org.au/media/332325/cosa-teletrial-model-final-19sep16.pdf>>.
- Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) 2018, 'COSA position statement on exercise in cancer care', COSA, Sydney, viewed 22 July 2019, <<https://www.cosa.org.au/media/332488/cosa-position-statement-v4-web-final.pdf>>.
- Cormie P, Atkinson M, Bucci L, Cust A, Eakin E, Hayes S, et al. 2018, 'Clinical Oncology Society of Australia position statement on exercise in cancer care', *Medical Journal of Australia*, vol. 209, no. 4, pp. 184–187.
- Cormie P, Zopf EM, Zhang X, Schmitz KH 2017, 'The impact of exercise on cancer mortality, recurrence, and treatment-related adverse effects', *Epidemiologic Reviews*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 71–92.
- Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, Mohile S, Wedding U, Basso U, Colloca G, et al. 2015, 'Screening tools for multidimensional health problems warranting a geriatric assessment in older cancer patients: an update on SIOG recommendations', *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 288–300.
- Emery J 2014, 'Cancer survivorship – the role of the GP', *Australian Family Physician*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 521–525.
- eviQ 2019, 'Safe handling and waste management of hazardous drugs', Cancer Institute NSW, Sydney, viewed 22 July 2019, <<https://www.eviq.org.au/clinical-resources/administration-of-antineoplastic-drugs/188-safe-handling-and-waste-management-of-hazardou>>.
- Fenaux P, Haase D, Santini V, Sanz GF, Platzbecker U, Mey U, et al. 2021, 'Myelodysplastic syndromes: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up', *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 142–156.

- Ferrari A, Thomas D, Franklin A, Hayes-Lattin B, Mascarin M, van der Graaf W, et al. 2010, 'Starting an adolescent and young adult program: some success stories and some obstacles to overcome', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 28, no. 32, pp. 4850–4857.
- Fitch M 2000, 'Supportive care for cancer patients', *Hospital Quarterly*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 39–46.
- Fitch MI 2008, 'Supportive care framework', *Canadian Oncology Nursing Journal*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 6–14.
- Gilligan T, Coyle N, Frankel RM, Berry DL, Bohlke K, Epstein RM et al. 2017, 'Patient-clinician communication: American Society of Clinical Oncology consensus guideline', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 35, no. 31, pp. 3618–3623.
- Granfeldt Østgård LS, Medeiros BC, Sengeløv H, Nørgaard M, Andersen MK, Dufva IH, et al. 2015, 'Epidemiology and clinical significance of secondary and therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia: a national population-based cohort study', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 33, no. 31, pp. 3641–3649.
- Hack TF, Reuther DJ, Weir LM, Grenier D, Degner LF 2012, 'Promoting consultation recording practice in oncology: identification of critical implementation factors and determination of patient benefit', *Psycho-Oncology*, vol. 22, pp. 1273–1282.
- Haines IE 2011, 'Managing patients with advanced cancer: the benefits of early referral for palliative care', *Medical Journal of Australia*, vol. 194, no. 3, pp. 107–108.
- Harris J 2020, 'New strategies improve outcomes for lower-risk MDS', *Chemotherapy Foundation Symposium*, viewed 20 August 2021, <<https://www.onclive.com/view/new-strategies-improve-outcomes-for-lower-risk-mds>>.
- Hayes SC, Newton RU, Spence RR, Galvao DA 2019, 'The Exercise and Sports Science Australia position statement: exercise medicine in cancer management', *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1175–1199.
- Hewitt M, Greenfield S, Stovall E 2006, *From cancer patient to cancer survivor: lost in transition*, National Academies Press, Washington.
- Jefford M, Ward AC, Lisy K, Lacey K, Emery JD, Glaser AW, et al. 2017, 'Patient-reported outcomes in cancer survivors: a population-wide cross-sectional study', *Support Care Cancer*, no. 10, pp. 3171–3179.
- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Boyle F, Juraskova I 2018a, 'Facilitating collaborative and effective family involvement in the cancer setting: guidelines for clinicians (TRIO guidelines-1)', *Patient Education and Counseling*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 970–982.
- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Boyle F, Juraskova I 2018b, 'Managing challenging interactions with family caregivers in the cancer setting: guidelines for clinicians (TRIO guidelines-2)', *Patient Education and Counseling*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 983–994.
- Leukaemia Foundation 2020, 'Deletion 5q', viewed 27 August 2021, <<https://www.leukaemia.org.au/MDS>>.
- Lisy K, Langdon L, Piper A, Jefford M 2019, 'Identifying the most prevalent unmet needs of cancer survivors in Australia: a systematic review', *Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. e68–e78.
- Monterosso L, Platt V, Bulsara M, Berg M 2019, 'Systematic review and meta-analysis of patient reported outcomes for nurse-led models of survivorship care for adult cancer patients', *Cancer Treatment Reviews Journal*, no. 73, pp. 62–72.

My Health Record 2019, 'What is My Health Record?' Australian Government, Sydney, viewed 17 December 2019, <<https://www.myhealthrecord.gov.au/for-you-your-family/what-is-my-health-record>>.

National Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation (NACCHO) 2021, accessed 6 September 2021, <<https://www.naccho.org.au>>.

National Cancer Survivorship Initiative (NCSI) 2015, 'Stratified pathways of care', National Health Service, UK, viewed 2 March 2015, <<https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/stratified-pathways-update.pdf>>.

National Disability Insurance Agency 2018, 'How the NDIS works', NDIS, Canberra, viewed 3 June 2019, <<https://www.ndis.gov.au/understanding/how-ndis-works>>.

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) 2013, *Personalised medicine and genetics*, viewed 3 June 2019, <<https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/personalised-medicine-and-genetics>>.

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) 2014, 'Talking with your patients about complementary medicine: a resource for clinicians', NHMRC, Canberra, viewed 18 February 2020, <<https://www.caresearch.com.au/caresearch/ClinicalPractice/PatientConsiderations/ComplementaryTherapies/tabid/1258/Default.aspx>>.

Pan Birmingham Cancer Network 2011, 'Guideline for the management of adult myelodysplastic syndromes', viewed 27 August 2021, <<https://www.uhb.nhs.uk/Downloads/pdf/CancerPbAdultMyelodysplasticSyndromes.pdf>>.

palliAGED 2018, 'Needs Assessment', Flinders University, Bedford Park, viewed 1 October 2019, <<https://www.palliaged.com.au/tabid/4879/Default.aspx>>.

Palliative Care Australia 2018, 'National Palliative Care Standards', 5th edn, Palliative Care Australia, Canberra, viewed 24 July 2019, <http://palliativecare.org.au/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2018/11/PalliativeCare-National-Standards-2018_Nov-web.pdf>.

Palliative Care Victoria 2019, 'Spiritual care', Palliative Care Victoria, Melbourne, viewed 22 July 2019, <<https://www.pallcarevic.asn.au/healthcare-professionals/about-palliative-care/care/spiritual-care>>.

Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre 2019, 'Community support organisations' cancer survivorship care consensus statement', viewed 10 February 2020, <https://www.petermac.org/sites/default/files/media-uploads/NGO_ConsensusStatement.pdf>.

Queensland Health 2015, 'Sad news sorry business: guidelines for caring for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people through death and dying', State Government of Queensland, Brisbane, viewed 22 July 2019, <https://www.health.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0023/151736/sorry_business.pdf>.

Sekeres MA, Cutler C 2014, 'How we treat higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes', *Blood*, vol. 123, no. 6, pp. 829–836.

Silver JK, Baima J 2013, 'Cancer prehabilitation: an opportunity to decrease treatment-related morbidity, increase cancer treatment options, and improve physical and psychological health outcomes', *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, vol. 92, no. 8, pp. 715–727.

Silver JK 2015, 'Cancer prehabilitation and its role in improving health outcomes and reducing health care costs', *Seminars in Oncology Nursing*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 1–3.

Sjoquist K, Zalcborg J 2013, 'Clinical trials – advancing cancer care', *Cancer Forum*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 80–88.

- Smith A, Bellizzi K, Keegan T, Zebrack B, Chen V, Neale A, et al. 2013, 'Health-related quality of life of adolescent and young adult patients with cancer in the United States: the Adolescent and Young Adult Health Outcomes and Patient Experience Study', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 31, no. 17, pp. 2136–2145.
- Smith S, Case L, Waterhouse K, Pettitt N, Beddard L, Oldham J, et al. 2012, 'A blueprint of care for teenagers and young adults with cancer', Teenage Cancer Trust, Manchester, UK.
- Steer B, Marx G, Singhal N, McJannett M, Goldstein D, Prowse R 2009, 'Cancer in older people: a tale of two disciplines', *Internal Medicine Journal*, vol. 39, pp. 771–775.
- Stojkov K, Silze T, Stuss G, Schwappach D, Bernhard J, Bowen D, et al. 2020, 'Guideline-based indicators for adult patients with myelodysplastic syndromes', *Blood Advances*, vol. 4, no. 16, pp. 4029–4044.
- Tan SY, Turner J, Kerin-Ayres K, Butler S, Deguchi C, Khatri S, et al. 2019, 'Health concerns of cancer survivors after primary anti-cancer treatment', *Support Cancer Care*, no. 10, pp. 3739–3747.
- Temel J, Greer J, Muzikansky A, Gallagher E, Admane S, Jackson V 2010, 'Early palliative care for patients with non-metastatic non-small cell lung cancer', *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 363, no. 8, pp. 733–742.
- Vardy JL, Raymond JC, Koczwara B, Lisy K, Cohn RJ, Joske D, et al. 2019, 'Clinical Oncology Society of Australia position statement on cancer survivorship care', *Australian Journal of General Practice*, vol. 48, no. 12, pp. 833–836.
- Vijayvergia N, Denlinger CS 2015, 'Lifestyle factors in cancer survivorship: where we are and where we are headed', *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 243–263.
- Volpe VO, Komrokji RS 2021, 'Treatment options for lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. Where are we now?', *Therapeutic Advances in Hematology*, eCollection, 14 Jan 2021, doi: 10.1177/2040620720986641.
- Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, Topinkova E, Maryska LG, Janssen-Heijnen MLG, et al. 2014, 'International Society of Geriatric Oncology Consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 32, no. 24, pp. 2595–2603.
- World Health Organization (WHO) 2018, 'Malnutrition', WHO, Geneva, viewed 18 July 2019, <<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malnutrition>>.
- Zimmermann C, Swami N, Krzyzanowska M, Hannon B, Leighl N, Oza A, et al. 2014, 'Early palliative care for patients with advanced cancer: a cluster-randomised controlled trial', *Lancet*, vol. 383, no. 9930, pp. 1721–1730.

